The Histopathological Parameters Affecting Biochemical Recurrence in Radical Prostatectomies

Yelda Dere¹, Aysegul Aksoy Altinboga², Kaan Bal³, Aylin Calli⁴, Murat Ermete⁴ and Aysegul Akder Sari⁴

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the relationship between biochemical recurrence and other histopathological factors in prostate cancer.

Study Design: Analytical study.

Place and Duration of Study: Pathology and Urology Departments, Izmir Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, between 2001 - 2013.

Methodology: 117 cases diagnosed with prostatic adenocarcinoma and treated by radical prostatectomy were reviewed retrospectively for histopathological features; whereas, other prognostic findings were noted. PSA levels and many other histopathological parameters were assessed in order to put forth their effect on biochemical recurrence.

Results: PSA level (p<0.001), tumor volume (p<0.001), Gleason score (p<0.001), extraprostatic extension (p<0.001), perineural invasion (p<0.001), ganglion involvement (p=0.040), vascular invasion (p<0.001), positive surgical margins (p<0.001), presence of tertiary pattern (p=0.004) and the involvement of the seminal vesicles (p<0.001) were found to be statistically related to the pathological stage. Age, perineural invasion, high grade tertiary pattern, intraluminal mucin, collagenous micronodules and foamy cytoplasmic changes were unrelated to recurrence.

Conclusion: Histopathological features can be helpful in predicting prognosis in prostatic adenocarcinomas. However some of the histopathological factors such as intraluminal mucin and foamy cytoplasmic changes may not reflect high recurrence.

Key Words: Prostatic adenocarcinoma. Gleason score. PSA. Prostate.

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer in men and the second most common reason of cancer-related deaths.¹ Depending on the rise of routine measurements of prostate specific antigen (PSA), the incidence of prostate cancer and radical prostatectomy (RP) is being increased.² In this respect, the correct and detailed evaluation of RP specimens became very important for predicting prognosis.^{3,4} Many histological parameters such as Gleason score, extraprostatic extension, involvement of seminal vesicles, status of lymph nodes, and surgical margins can be directive for prognosis in addition to preoperative PSA levels.⁵

In this study, the aim was to investigate the relationship between biochemical recurrence and preoperative PSA

¹ Department of Pathology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Faculty of Medicine, Mugla, Turkey.

² Department of Pathology, Ankara Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey.

³ Department of Urology / Pathology⁴, Izmir Katip Celebi University Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, Izmir, Turkey.

Correspondence: Dr. Yelda Dere, Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Faculty of Medicine, Mugla, Turkey. E-mail: yeldamorgul@gmail.com

Received: February 04, 2016; Accepted: April 26, 2017.

level, Gleason score, tumor volume, surgical margin status, extraprostatic extension and histopathological features in prostate cancer cases treated with RP.

METHODOLOGY

A total number of 117 cases diagnosed with prostatic adenocarcinoma and treated by RP in Izmir Ataturk Training and Research Hospital Urology Clinic from 2001 to 2013 were included in this study. Patients were grouped as < 60 years and 60 years of age.⁶ All of the specimens were evaluated with same procedure as all surgical margins were inked and all of the specimens were submitted totally. All the hematoxylen-eosin slides were reviewed, and staged according to 2010 AJCC staging system. In cases with high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN), the percentage of HGPIN was measured and classified as <5% as focal, 5-20% as moderate, and >20% as extensive. Intraluminal mucin and foamy cytoplasm was estimated as positive even seen in a single gland.

The relations between all of the histopathological parameters (Gleason score, extraprostatic extension, perineural invasion, vascular invasion, ganglion involvement, tumor positive surgical margins, necrosis, involvement of seminal vesicules, intraluminal mucin, collagenous micronodules and foamy cytoplasm) and pathological stage and recurrence were studied in addition to the preoperative PSA levels, biochemical recurrence and follow up time up to recurrence. Biochemical recurrence was accepted as postoperative PSA level >0.2 ng/ml.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Q-Q Plot were used to verify the normality of the distribution of continuous variables. Since none of the continuous variables is normally distributed, descriptive statistics are reported as the median (min-max and IQR) and categorical variables were given as frequencies (percentages). Statistical analysis of clinical data between two groups consisted of Mann-Whitney U-test for non-parametric data, whereas the Chi-square/Fisher's exact tests were used for categorical variables. In addition, Cox regression was used for the analyses of the risk predictors of recurrence status (present or absent). Analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2013. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) software and two-tailed p-value less than and equal to 0.05 (p 0.05) was considered statistically significant.

Table I: Characteristics	of the tumors	and the relation	with pathological stage.

2 3 P PSA Lavel (ng/mi) 7.15 17.20 6.27 16.30 5.6-72 2.18 <0.001u Tumor volume (percentage) 10.00 1-80 15 40.00 5.95 5.5 <0.001u Tumor volume (percentage) 10.00 1-80 15 40.00 5.95 2 <0.001u Extraprostatic extension 1 7.00 6.9 2 <0.001u Positive 17 21.79% 36 92.31% <0.001 Positive 17 21.79% 36 92.31% <0.001 Positive 51 65.38% 39 100.00% <0.011 Positive 51 65.38% 39 100.00% <0.057 Positive 61 782.1% 30 76.9% <0.875 Positive 61 782.1% 9 23.08% <0.77 S% 51 65.38% 26 <t< th=""><th></th><th colspan="5">Pathological stage (T)</th><th rowspan="2">P</th></t<>		Pathological stage (T)					P	
Median'n Min-Max'n IOR Medan'n Min-Max'n IOR SA Lavel (ngint) 7.15 1.7.30 5.27 18.30 5.67.27 21.8 40.001u Tumor volume (parcattage) 10.00 1-80 16 40.00 6-9 2 40.001u Total Glasson score 6.00 6-9 1 7.00 6-9 2 40.001u Total Glasson score 6.00 6-9 1 7.00 6-9 2 40.001u Negative 61 752.1% 38 7.69% 40.001 -40.001 Pesitive 17 2.179% 38 39 100.00% -40.001 High grade prostatic intraspithelial neoplasia 7 21.79% 9 23.08% 0.875 Positive 61 72.17% 9 9 23.08% 0.875 Positive 61 12.23% 9 23.08% 0.777 5% 51 65.38% 28 66.67% 0.777 <t< th=""><th></th><th colspan="4">2 3</th></t<>		2 3						
PSALevel (ng/m) 7.15 1.7-30 5.27 16.30 5.6-72 21.8 <.0.001u		Median/n	Min-Max/%	IQR	Median/n	Min-Max/%	IQR	-
Tunne (percentage) 10.00 1-80 15 40.00 5-85 650 40.001 Total Gleason score 6.00 6-9 1 7.00 6-9 2 40.001 Total Gleason score 6.0 76.9 1 7.00 6-9 2 40.001 Regative 61 75.21% 3 7.69% 40.001 40.001 Positive 11 75.21% 38 76.90% 40.001 40.001 Positive 21 34.62% 0 0.00% -40.001 Positive 21 24.62% 9 23.08% 40.027 Positive 61 78.21% 9 23.08% 0.707 5% 51 65.38% 26 66.67% 0.707 5% 51 65.38% 4 10.28% 0.707 620% 15 19.23% 4 10.28% 0.707 5% 51 65.89% 38 92.31% 0.332a <td>PSA Level (ng/ml)</td> <td>7.15</td> <td>1.7-30</td> <td>5.27</td> <td>16.30</td> <td>5.6-72</td> <td>21.8</td> <td><0.001u</td>	PSA Level (ng/ml)	7.15	1.7-30	5.27	16.30	5.6-72	21.8	<0.001u
Total Cleasen score 6.00 6-9 1 7.00 6-9 2 <0.001u Extraprostatic extension -	Tumor volume (percentage)	10.00	1-80	15	40.00	5-95	55	<0.001u
Erraportatic extension	Total Gleason score	6.00	6-9	1	7.00	6-9	2	<0.001u
Negative 61 78.21% 33 7.6% 40.001 Positive 17 21.79% 36 92.31% 40.001 Positive 27 34.62% 0 0.000% <0.001	Extraprostatic extension							
Positive 17 21.79% 38 92.31% Perineural invasion -	Negative	61	78.21%		3	7.69%		<0.001
Perineural invasion 27 34.62% 0 0.00% <0.001 Negative 27 34.62% 39 100.00% <0.001	Positive	17	21.79%		36	92.31%		
Negative 27 34.62% 0 0.00% Positive 51 65.8% 39 100.00% Hegative 17 21.7% 9 23.08% 0.875 Positive 61 78.21% 30 76.92% 0.76.92% Positive 61 65.38% 26 66.67% 0.707 6-20% 15 19.23% 9 23.08% 0.332a 20% 15 19.23% 9 23.08% 0.332a Necrosis T 76 97.44% 10.26% 0.332a Positive 2 2.56% 3 7.69% 0.332a Positive 1 1.28% 9 23.08% <0.001a	Perineural invasion							
Peative5165.3%39100.0%High grade prostatic intraepilhelial neoplasiaII2.1.7%S.0.875Positive6178.21%3076.92%0.875Positive6165.3%2.666.67%0.7075%5165.3%923.08%00.7076.20%1519.23%923.08%00.7076.20%1215.3%410.26%0.707NecrosisI2.56%37.69%0.332aPositive7697.44%3692.31%0.332aPositive7798.72%3076.92%<0.001a	Negative	27	34.62%		0	0.00%		<0.001
High grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia 17 21.79% 9 23.08% 0.875 Negative 61 77.21% 30 76.92% 0.875 HGPIN (percentage) - - - - 0.875 5% 51 65.38% 26 66.67% 0.707 6-20% 15 19.23% 9 23.06% - >20% 12 15.38% 4 10.26% - Necrosis - - - - - Negative 76 97.44% 36 92.31% 0.332a Positive 2 2.66% 3 7.69% - - Vascular invasion -	Positive	51	65.38%		39	100.00%		
Negative 17 21.79% 9 23.08% 0.875 Positive 61 78.21% 30 76.92% Positive 61 78.21% 30 76.92% S% 51 65.38% 26 66.67% 0.707 6-20% 15 19.23% 9 23.08% S20% 12 15.38% 4 10.26% Necroits T 12.33% 9 23.08% Positive 2 2.65% 3 7.69% Vascular invasion T 1 1.02% Positive surgical margins T 1 1.02% Positive surgical margins T N 4 10.26% Involvement of the seminal vesicles T 4 10.26% <0.011	High grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia							
Positive 61 78.21% 30 76.92% HGPIN (percentage) -	Negative	17	21.79%		9	23.08%		0.875
HGPIN (percentage)	Positive	61	78.21%		30	76.92%		
5% 51 65.38% 26 66.67% 0.707 6-20% 15 19.23% 9 23.08% - Necrosis 12 15.38% 4 10.26% - Negative 76 97.44% 36 92.31% 0.332a Positive 76 97.44% 36 92.31% - Negative 76 97.44% 36 92.31% - Vascular invasion - - - - - Negative 77 98.72% 30 76.92% - - - Positive surgical margins -	HGPIN (percentage)							
6-20% 15 19.23% 9 23.08% >20% 12 15.88% 4 10.26% Necrosis - - - - Negative 76 97.44% 36 92.31% 0.332a Positive 2 2.56% 3 7.69% 0.332a Vascular invasion 2 2.56% 3 7.69% 0.001a Positive 1 1.28% 9 23.08% <0.001a	5%	51	65.38%		26	66.67%		0.707
>20% 12 15.88% 4 10.26% Necrosis	6-20%	15	19.23%		9	23.08%		
Necrosis 76 97.44% 36 92.31% 0.332a Positive 2 2.56% 3 7.69% 0.332a Vascular invasion 2 2.56% 30 76.92% 0.001a Negative 77 98.72% 30 76.92% <0.001a	>20%	12	15.38%		4	10.26%		
Negative 76 97.4% 36 92.31% 0.332a Positive 2 2.66% 3 7.69% Vascular invasion 77 98.72% 30 76.92% <0.001a	Necrosis							
Positive 2 2.56% 3 7.69% Vascular invasion 77 98.72% 30 76.92% <0.001a	Negative	76	97.44%		36	92.31%		0.332a
Vascular invasion 77 98.72% 30 76.92% <0.001a Positive 1 1.28% 9 23.08% <0.001a	Positive	2	2.56%		3	7.69%		
Negative 77 98.72% 30 76.92% <0.001a Positive surgical margins 1 1.28% 9 23.08% Negative surgical margins 52 66.67% 4 10.26% <0.001	Vascular invasion							
Positive 1 1.28% 9 23.08%	Negative	77	98.72%		30	76.92%		<0.001a
Positive surgical margins 52 66.67% 4 10.26% <0.001 Positive 26 33.33% 35 89.74% <0.001	Positive	1	1.28%		9	23.08%		
Negative 52 66.67% 4 10.26% < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	Positive surgical margins							
Positive 26 33.33% 35 89.74% Involvement of the seminal vesicles 77 98.72% 22 56.41% <0.001	Negative	52	66.67%		4	10.26%		<0.001
Involvement of the seminal vesicles 77 98.72% 22 56.41% <0.001 Positive 1 1.28% 17 43.59% <0.001	Positive	26	33.33%		35	89.74%		
Negative 77 98.72% 22 56.41% <0.001 Positive 1 1.28% 17 43.59% Intraluminal mucin - - - - - Negative 60 76.92% 29 74.36% 0.759 Positive 18 23.08% 10 25.64% - Ganglionic involvement - - - - - Negative 76 97.44% 34 87.18% 0.040 a Positive 2 2.56% 5 12.82% - - Collagenous spherulosis - - - - - - Negative 73 93.59% 39 100.00% 0.168 a - Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.000% - - Foamy cytoplasmic change - - - - - - Negative 6 7.69% 4 <	Involvement of the seminal vesicles							
Positive 1 1.28% 17 43.59% Intraluminal mucin 60 76.92% 29 74.36% 0.759 Positive 18 23.08% 10 25.64% 0.759 Ganglionic involvement 1 2 2.56% 5 12.82% 0.040 a Positive 2 2.56% 5 12.82% 0.040 a Collagenous spherulosis 2 2.56% 5 12.82% 0.040 a Negative 73 93.59% 39 100.00% 0.168 a Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% 0.729 a Foamy cytoplasmic change 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Positive 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0.729 a 0.729 a Presence of tertiary pattern 4 5 5.13% 0.004 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 0.004 High grade	Negative	77	98.72%		22	56.41%		<0.001
Intraluminal mucin 60 76.92% 29 74.36% 0.759 Positive 18 23.08% 10 25.64% 0.759 Ganglionic involvement 76 97.44% 34 87.18% 0.040 a Negative 76 97.44% 34 87.18% 0.040 a Positive 2 2.56% 5 12.82% 0.040 a Collagenous spherulosis 2 2.56% 5 10.00% 0.168 a Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% 0.168 a Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% 0.729 a Positive 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Positive 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0.004 Presence of tertiary pattern 10.26% 9 23.08% 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004	Positive	1	1.28%		17	43.59%		
Negative 60 76.92% 29 74.36% 0.759 Positive 18 23.08% 10 25.64% 10 25.64% 10 25.64% 10 25.64% 10 25.64% 10 25.64% 10 25.64% 10 20 20.04% 10 25.64% 10 20 20.04% 10 20 20.04% 10 20 20.04% 10	Intraluminal mucin							
Positive 18 23.08% 10 25.64% Image: constraint of the constraint o	Negative	60	76.92%		29	74.36%		0.759
Ganglionic involvement 76 97.44% 34 87.18% 0.040 a Positive 2 2.56% 5 12.82% 0 0.040 a Collagenous spherulosis 2 2.56% 5 12.82% 0 0.168 a Negative 73 93.59% 39 100.00% 0.168 a Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% 0 Foamy cytoplasmic change 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Positive 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0 0.004 Presence of tertiary pattern 2 2.08% 9 23.08% 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004	Positive	18	23.08%		10	25.64%		
Negative 76 97.44% 34 87.18% 0.040 a Positive 2 2.56% 5 12.82%	Ganglionic involvement							
Positive 2 2.56% 5 12.82% Collagenous spherulosis	Negative	76	97.44%		34	87.18%		0.040 a
Collagenous spherulosis 73 93.59% 39 100.00% 0.168 a Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% 0.168 a Foamy cytoplasmic change 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Negative 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0.729 a Presence of tertiary pattern 6 7.69% 9 23.08% 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004	Positive	2	2.56%		5	12.82%		
Negative 73 93.59% 39 100.00% 0.168 a Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% 0 Foamy cytoplasmic change 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Negative 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0.729 a Presence of tertiary pattern 6 7.69% 9 23.08% 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004	Collagenous spherulosis							
Positive 5 6.41% 0 0.00% Foamy cytoplasmic change 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Positive 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0.729 a Presence of tertiary pattern 5 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004	Negative	73	93.59%		39	100.00%		0.168 a
Foamy cytoplasmic change 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Negative 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 0.729 a Positive 6 7.69% 9 23.08% 0.004 Presence of tertiary pattern 0.004 10.26% 0.004 0.004 Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004 No tertiary 67 85.90% 28 71.79% 0.004	Positive	5	6.41%		0	0.00%		
Negative 72 92.31% 35 89.74% 0.729 a Positive 6 7.69% 4 10.26% 4 0.729 a Presence of tertiary pattern -	Foamy cytoplasmic change							
Positive 6 7.69% 4 10.26% Presence of tertiary pattern	Negative	72	92.31%		35	89.74%		0.729 a
Presence of tertiary pattern 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 Low grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 0.004 No tertiary 67 85.90% 28 71.79% 0.004	Positive	6	7.69%		4	10.26%		
Low grade 3 3.85% 9 23.08% 0.004 High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% 10.004 No tertiary 67 85.90% 28 71.79% 10.004	Presence of tertiary pattern							
High grade 8 10.26% 2 5.13% No tertiary 67 85.90% 28 71.79%	Low grade	3	3.85%		9	23.08%		0.004
No tertiary 67 85.90% 28 71.79%	High grade	8	10.26%		2	5.13%		
	No tertiary	67	85.90%		28	71.79%		

Mann-Whitney U-test, Chi-square test and Fisher Exact test were used.

RESULTS

The median age of 117 cases was 67 (46-81) years and the median preoperative PSA level was 8.2 ng/ml (range: 1.7-72). The median volume of tumor was 15% (range: 1-95).

The distribution of total Gleason score (GS) and pathological stage were as follows: 56 cases were GS 6 (47.86%), 42 cases were GS 7 (35.90%), 6 cases were GS 8 (5.13%), 13 cases were GS 9 (11.11%). Seventy eight cases were T2 (66.67%) and 39 were T3 (33.33%). High grade tertiary pattern was observed in 10 cases (8.55%). High grade prostatic intraepithelial noeplasia (HGPIN) was observed in 91 cases (77.80%), and the percentage of it was distributed as 77 cases 5% (65.81%), 24 were 6-20% (20.51%) and 16 were >20% (13.68%).

Among all prognostic factors; PSA level (p<0.001), tumor volume (p<0.001), Gleason score (p<0.001), extraprostatic extension (p<0.001), perineural invasion (p<0.001), ganglion involvement (p=0.040), vascular invasion (p<0.001), positive surgical margins (p<0.001), presence of tertiary pattern (p=0.004), and the involvement of the seminal vesicles (p<0.001) were found to be statistically related to the pathological stage. Other characteristic features of the tumors and the relationship between pathological stage and prognostic factors were summarized in Table I.

A total number of 85 cases were available for follow-up and the median follow-up time was 13.43 (1-72) months. Biochemical recurrence was detected in 18 (15.4%) patients. Median time for recurrence was found as 19 (1-72) months.

HGPIN, extraprostatic extension, perineural invasion, tumor positive surgical margins, vascular invasion, necrosis and involvement of seminal vesicles was detected in 65 (76.5%), 42 (49.4%), 65 (76.5%), 45 (52.9%), 5 (5.9%), 4 (4.7%) and 9 (10.6%) of 85 cases,

respectively. In histological evaluation, ganglion involvement was found in 2 (2.4%) of cases while intraluminal mucin, collagenous micronodules and foamy cytoplasm were determined in 22 (25.9%), 3 (3.5%) and 8 (9.4%) of followed up cases, respectively.

Among all the factors; preoperative PSA level (p=0.001), tumor volume (p<0.001), total GS (p<0.001), extraprostatic extension (p=0.002), necrosis (p=0.017), lymphovascular invasion (p=0.032), positive surgical margins (p=0.012), the involvement of the seminal vesicles (p<0.001) was found as statistically related to recurrence. The factors found to be related to recurrence were summarized in Table II. Since collagenous spherulosis was only positive for three patients, it was not analyzed with Cox regression.

High grade tertiary pattern was observed in 10 cases among all the patients with the most Gleason score of 3+3=6 (n=9). Also one case with a GS of 4+3=7 had a high grade tertiary pattern. Among these ten patients, 8 were available for follow-up and none of them had recurrence. The presence of high grade tertiary pattern was not statistically related to recurrence (p=0.685). Additional histopathological factors such as collagenous micronodules, intraluminal mucin and foamy cytoplasm were also found as unrelated to biochemical recurrence.

DISCUSSION

Being the most common type of cancer in men, prostate cancer and its prognostic factors have been the subject of many researches. Gleason score is a grading system used for determining optimal treatment and predicting prognosis, which is based on the sum of the most common primary and secondary histological patterns, in addition to the pathological stage and tumor positive surgical margins.^{3,7}

One of the major controversies in pathological evaluation of radical prostatectomy specimens is the measurement of tumor volume. Tumor volume is one of the major

Table II: Factors related to recu	rrence using Cox	regression.					
	В	St. error	Wald	р	HR	95% CI for HR	
Age	-0.005	0.037	0.019	0.889	0.995	0.926	1.069
PSA (ng/ml)	0.034	0.011	10.229	0.001	1.035	1.013	1.057
Tumor volume (percentage)	0.033	0.008	18.577	<0.001	1.034	1.018	1.050
Total Gleason score	0.817	0.208	15.399	<0.001	2.264	1.505	3.406
Extraprostatic extension	2.004	0.649	9.533	0.002	7.418	2.079	26.471
Perineural invasion	3.604	2.295	2.466	0.116	36.734	0.409	3300.292
HGPIN	-0.469	0.543	0.745	0.388	0.626	0.216	1.814
Necrosis	1.416	0.591	5.743	0.017	4.120	1.294	13.118
Vascular invasion	1.204	0.562	4.598	0.032	3.334	1.109	10.022
Positive surgical margins	2.592	1.034	6.279	0.012	13.353	1.759	101.383
Involvement of seminal vesicles	2.648	0.561	22.295	<0.001	14.126	4.706	42.402
Intraluminal mucin	0.299	0.654	0.209	0.648	1.349	0.374	4.863
Ganglionic involvement	0.325	0.829	0.154	0.695	1.384	0.272	7.035
Foamy cytoplasmic change	0.074	0.765	0.009	0.923	1.076	0.240	4.824
Presence of tertiary pattern	-3.114	7.684	164	0.685	0.044	0	154113

HR: Hazard ratio

factors effecting biochemical recurrence because small tumors (<5% of all prostate volume), which can totally be cured, are the most important forms of prostatic adenocarcinoma.³

Apart from visual estimate of tumor percentage in which tumor areas were measured directly under the microscope, many different stereobiological methods are used for detecting tumor volume because no optimal method was agreed upon. This is one of the main reasons of the restricted use of tumor volume in predicting prognosis.^{3,8} In this study, tumor volume is measured by the eyeball estimate of the percentage of prostatic tissue involved by tumor, and was found to be a prognostic factor for predicting biochemical recurrence (p=0.00).

On the other hand, it is still controversial whether age is a major prognostic factor or not.⁹ Some studies found younger patients had a better outcome than older patients, after radical prostatectomy.⁹ In this study, we regrouped the cases into < 60 and 60 years and no significant difference was found between these groups (p=0.889).

Many prognostic parameters can be determined by careful evaluation of RP specimens. Among all these parameters, vascular invasion (lymphatic or venous) has no role in pathological staging. Isolated effect of vascular invasion on prognosis remains a mystery because of being observed generally in advanced tumors.¹⁰ In this study, vascular invasion was mostly observed in advanced (T3) tumors and showed significant relationship with biochemical recurrence (p=0.032). Similar to this, perineural invasion was detected in all advanced tumors (100%) but not found to be significantly related to biochemical recurrence (p=0.116).

In addition to the known classical prognostic histopathological factors such as Gleason score, extraprostatic extension, vascular invasion, perineural invasion, positive surgical margins, we also investigated the prognostic role of the presence of intraluminal mucin, collagenous micronodules and tumoral cells with foamy cytoplasm.

Intraluminal mucin can be seen in routine hematoxyleneosin slides as amorphous basophilic secretions in the lumina of carcinomatous glands and rarely recognized in benign prostatic hyperplasias.⁸ Collagenous micronodules are paucicellular eosinophilic fibrous microscopic nodules invaginated into aciner lumina and specific for carcinoma which can incidentally be found in 2-13% of prostatic adenocarcinomas.¹¹ Some tumor cells contain vacuolated or abundant foamy cytoplasm. These tumors are known as foamy gland carcinomas and graded by Gleason system.¹²⁻¹⁴ The three histological features mentioned above are known to be associated with prostatic adenocarcinoma; however, their effect on prognosis remains a mystery.^{9,15} In this study, these histological features were found to be unrelated to biochemical recurrence and pathological stage; however, foamy cytoplasm was detected more commonly in advanced (T3) cases. But intraluminal mucin was partially equal in T2 (n=15) and T3 (n=13) with a slight dominancy for early stage group. No significant relationship was found between these histological features and recurrence. Depending on the small number of cases with these histological features, it is not possible to make any accurate comments regarding the prognostic role of these histological findings.

Ganglion involvement is one of the main factors effecting extraprostatic extension. Sakamoto studied upon this factor but could not prove the actual effect on extraprostatic extension.¹⁶ In our study, ganglion involvement showed significant relation to pathological stage but recurrence was not statistically related to ganglionic involvement.

In the recent years, Gleason grading system was revised and the presence of a high grade tertiary component seen in <5% of tumor was found to correlate with pathological stage and progression.^{17,18} In our study, in contrast with the literature, patients with same Gleason score but having a higher tertiary pattern (n=10) showed no significant difference for recurrence according to the presence of high grade tertiary pattern (p=0.983). This may also be related to the limited number of cases with high grade tertiary pattern and may be overcomed by including more patients with tertiary pattern.

Intraluminal mucin, collagenous micronodules and foamy cytoplasm were found to be unrelated to prognosis. However, it is important to know that these features were commonly noted in advanced tumors in the English literature. These features were studied only in a few studies showing no exact relation to prognosis.^{9,13-15} Depending on the small number of cases showing these features, studies with larger case series are needed to put forth the real effect of intraluminal mucin, collagenous micronodules and foamy cytoplasm on recurrence.

CONCLUSION

The findings support the observation that reporting histopathological features such as Gleason score, tumor volume, extraprostatic extension, tumor positive surgical margins, lymphovascular invasion, necrosis, involvement of seminal vesicles, can be helpful in predicting recurrence in prostatic adenocarcinomas.

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015; **65**:5-29.
- 2. Buhmeida A, Pyrhönen S, Laato M, Collan Y. Prognostic factors in prostate cancer. *Diag Path* 2006; **1**:4

- Montironi R, Mazzucchelli M, Scarpelli M, Lopez-Beltran A, Mıkuz G. Prostate carcinoma I: prognostic factors in radical prostatectomy specimens and pelvic lymph nodes. *Bju Int* 2005; 97:485-91.
- Epstein JI, Srigley J, Grignon D, Humphrey P. Recommendations for the reporting of prostate carcinoma. *Hum Pathol* 2007; 38:1305-9.
- Vickers AJ, Cronin AM, Björk T, Manjer J, Nilsson PM, Dahlin A, et al. Prostate specific antigen concentration at age 60 and death or metastasis from prostate cancer: Case-control study. BMJ 2010; 341:c4521.
- Sakr WA, Wheeler TM, Blute M, Bodo M, Calle-Rodrigue R, Henson DE *et al.* Staging and reporting of prostate cancersampling of the radical prostatectomy specimen. *Cancer* 1996; 78:366-8.
- Eichelberger LE, Koch MO, Daggy JK, Ulbright TM, Eble JN, Cheng L. Predicting tumor volume in radical prostatectomy specimens from patients with prostate cancer. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2003; **120**:386-91.
- Noiwan S, Rattanarapee S. Mucin production in prostatic adenocarcinoma: A retrospective study of 190 radical prostatectomy and/or core biopsy specimens in department of pathology, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai 2011; 94:224-30.
- Freedland SJ, Presti JC, Kane CJ, Aronson WJ, Terris MK, Dorey F, et al. Do younger men have better biochemical outcomes after radical prostatectomy? Urology 2004; 63: 518-22.
- 10. Ito K, Nakashima J, Mukai M, Asakura H, Ohigashi T, Saito S, *et al.* Prognostic implication of microvascular invasion in bio-

chemical failure in patients treated with radical prostatectomy. Urol Int 2003; **70**:297-302.

- Baisden BL, Kahane H, Epstein JI. Perineural invasion, mucinous fibroplasia, and glomerulations: diagnostic features of limited cancer on prostate needle biopsy. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1999; 23:918-924.
- Dema A, Taban S, Lazar E, Borda A, Lazureanu C, Herman D et al. Pseudobenign prostate carcinomas: Causes of falsenegative biopsy results. *Rom J Morphol Embryol* 2011; **52**: 963-74.
- Warrick JI, Humphrey PA. Foamy gland carcinoma of the prostate in needle biopsy: Incidence, gleason grade, and comparative a-Methylacyl-CoA Racemase vs. ERG Expression. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2013; **37**: 1709-14.
- Berman DM, Yang J, Epstein JI. Foamy gland high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia. Am J Surg Pathol 2000; 24:140-4.
- Arangelovich V, Tretiakova M, SenGupta E, Krausz T, Yang XJ. Pathogenesis and significance of collagenous micronodules of the prostate. *Appl Immunohisto M M* 2003; 11:15-9.
- Sakamoto N, Hasegawa Y, Koga H, Kotoh S, Kuroiwa K, Naito S. Presence of ganglia within the prostatic capsule: Ganglion involvement in prostatic cancer. *Prostate* 1999; **40**:167-71.
- Mosse CA, Magi-Galluzzi C, Tsuzuki T, Epstein JI. The prognostic significance of tertiary gleason pattern 5 in radical prostatectomy specimens. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2004; 28: 394-8.
- Vis AN, Schroder FH, Van der Kwast TH. The actual value of the surgical margin status as a predictor of disease progression in men with early prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2006; 50:258-65.

••••☆••••