

Original Article

Prospective analysis of skin findings in medical critically ill patients in intensive care units

Suzan Demir Pektas¹, Arzu Kahveci Demir²

¹Department of Dermatology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University Faculty of Medicine, 48000, Mugla, Turkey;

²Department of Anesthesia and Reanimation, Aydin State Hospital, 09000, Aydin, Turkey

Received August 29, 2016; Accepted October 27, 2016; Epub October 15, 2017; Published October 30, 2017

Abstract: We aimed prospectively to investigate skin disorders developing in critically ill medically treated patients during their ICU stay. Critically ill medically treated patients were analyzed for factors affecting the rate and clinical properties of skin disorders. We recorded age, sex, comorbidities, skin disorders, time to consultation, ICU admission, duration of ICUs stay, and mortality rate. Among 1276 patients admitted to ICUs, 11.8% (n:151) had a dermatology consultation requested. The mean age of the study population was 68.9 ± 15.2 years (18-96 years), and 56% of the subjects were female. Infective skin lesions were detected in 47.7% of patients, dermatoses in 36.4%, and drug reactions in 15.9%. The mean age of patients with the skin disease was significantly higher than without skin disease group ($P < 0.05$). The frequency of skin disorders increased in rate in patients having diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure, and malignancy ($P < 0.05$). The hospitalization period of patients with skin disease was significantly longer than without skin disease group ($P < 0.05$). The rate of skin disorders increased with prolonged ICUs stay, and they were associated with increased mortality ($P < 0.05$). There was an increased rate of skin infection in patients of internal medicine ICU, while the rates of drug reactions and dermatoses were significantly higher in patients admitted to other ICUs ($P < 0.05$). The time to consultation was longest for patients diagnosed with skin infections and shortest for patients with dermatoses ($P < 0.05$). Skin disorders that developed in patients treated at ICU were correlated to age, comorbid conditions, duration of ICU stay and mortality.

Keywords: Dermatology consultation, skin disorders, comorbidity, ICU admission, intensive care unit, duration of ICU stay

Introduction

Intensive care units (ICUs) are places designed for the management of life-threatening organ failures secondary to acute disorders or acute exacerbations of chronic conditions, where necessary equipment and personnel are continuously kept available for close patient monitoring and rapid intervention [1]. In addition to the primary condition, new pathological conditions may emerge during ICU stay, depending on pre-existing morbidities and iatrogenic or environmental factors [2, 3]. Such pathologies also include skin disorders [2]. Previous studies have shown that skin disorders involving ICU patients reduce quality of life, prolong ICU stay, and augment mortality [4-8]. Simple measures such as changing patients' position and regular skin cleansing using appropriate cleansing and care products have been shown to help protect

the skin barrier [9]. On the other hand, organ failures, electrolyte disorders, comorbid conditions, adverse medication effects, and infections caused by opportunistic pathogens can lead to skin disorders. Unfortunately, the number of studies on skin disorders developing at ICUs is limited [2, 3].

Herein, we aimed to investigate skin disorders in medically treated critically ill patients and thereby to contribute to the existing literature.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the local ethics committee at Adnan Menderes University Faculty of Medicine (Approval date 20.07.2012, Approval No 2012/87) and was prospectively conducted in medically treated critically ill patients admitted to various ICUs at Aydin State

Dermatological disorders in medical critically ill patients

Table 1. The classification of skin diseases

		n (%)	n (%)
Infection (72)			
	Fungal		54 (75.0)
	Candida	50 (92.7)	
	Tinea cruris	3 (5.5)	
	Tinea fasciae	1 (1.8)	
	Bacterial		11 (15.3)
	Folliculitis	7 (63.6)	
	Cellulite	3 (27.3)	
	Erysipelas	1 (9.1)	
	Viral		7 (9.7)
	Herpes	5 (71.4)	
	Zoster	2 (28.6)	
Drugs (n:24)			
	Maculopapular drug eruption		16 (66.7)
	Acneiform drug eruptions		6 (25.0)
	Fix drug eruption		2 (8.3)
Dermatoses (n:55)			
	Friction blisters		18 (32.7)
	Allergic contact dermatitis		13 (23.7)
	Urticaria		11 (20.0)
	Seborrheic dermatitis		8 (14.5)
	Vasculitis		4 (7.3)
	Pemphigus vulgaris (recurrence)		1 (1.8)

as mean \pm standard deviation, the nonparametric continuous and discrete numeric variables: mean (the smallest-the largest) and interquartile range (IQR) and categorical variables: number of cases and percentile (%). To evaluate the significance of the difference in parametric data, Student's t test; significance of the difference in nonparametric data, Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis test data; significance of differences in categorical variables, Pearson's chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used. The parameters affecting the development of dermatological lesions variables were evaluated by Binary Logistic Regression Analysis.

The results were presented at a confidence interval of 95%, and the significance level was set at $P < 0.05$.

Hospital between 20.07.2012 and 20.8.2014. The patients staying at the internal diseases, pulmonary, neurological, coronary and reanimation ICUs were included in the study. Study data were accessed via written medical records and hospital's automation system.

Patients for whom dermatology consultation was requested formed Group A, and those for whom no dermatology consultation was requested formed Group B. Age, gender, name of ICU, comorbidities, skin disorders, time to consultation, duration of ICU stay, and mortality rate were recorded. Factors affecting the rate and types of skin disorders were analyzed. Patients who refused to participate in our study, who had missing medical or dermatological consultation data, who were younger than 18 years or pregnant, and who had a history of trauma or surgery were excluded.

Study data were analyzed in SPSS Windows version 18. The distribution of continuous and discrete numeric variables was evaluated by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The continuous and discrete numeric variables were reported

Results

Among 1276 patients enrolled by our study, 151 (11.8%) had a dermatology consultation and were grouped in Group A. The rest of the patients (n:1125) were classified as Group B.

The mean age of the patients with skin disorders was 68.9 ± 15.2 years (18-96 years). Fifty-six percent of the patients (n:86) were female and 44% (n:65) were male. Among the patients, 47.7% had infective skin lesions, 36.4% had dermatoses, and 15.9% had drug reactions (**Table 1**). The subgroups of skin disorders detected in the study subjects are presented in **Table 1**.

The mean age of patients with the skin disease was significantly higher than which ($P < 0.05$, **Table 2**). No correlation was found between gender and skin disorders ($P > 0.05$, **Table 2**). Among consulted patients, skin disorders were significantly more frequent in patients with DM, CRF, and malignancy ($P < 0.05$ for all, **Table 2**), but not in those with hypertension (HT), heart failure (HF), coronary artery disease (CAD), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),

Dermatological disorders in medical critically ill patients

Table 2. The comparison of demographic properties of the study groups

		Total (n:1276)	Group A (n:151)	Group B (1125)	p
Age (years), Mean ± SD		68.9 ± 15.2	71.8 ± 14.1	68.5 ± 15.3	0.012*
Gender	Male, n (%)	578 (45.3)	65 (44.0)	513 (45.6)	0.554**
	Female, n (%)	698 (54.7)	86 (56.0)	612 (54.4)	
Comorbidity	HT, n (%)	919 (72.0)	113 (74.8)	806 (71.6)	0.412**
	DM, n (%)	665 (52.1)	94 (62.3)	571 (50.8)	0.008**
	HF, n (%)	434 (34.0)	48 (32.5)	385 (34.2)	0.666**
	CAD, n (%)	427 (33.5)	57 (37.7)	370 (32.9)	0.235**
	COPD, n (%)	388 (30.4)	55 (36.4)	333 (29.6)	0.087**
	CVD, n (%)	261 (20.5)	29 (19.2)	232 (20.6)	0.685**
	Malignancy, n (%)	224 (17.6)	45 (29.8)	179 (15.9)	<0.001**
	CRF, n (%)	150 (11.8)	52 (34.8)	98 (8.7)	<0.001**
	Alzheimer, n (%)	65 (5.1)	10 (6.6)	55 (4.9)	0.363**
	Parkinson, n (%)	64 (5.0)	11 (7.3)	53 (4.7)	0.174**
	Others, n (%)	13 (1.0)	2 (1.3)	11 (1.0)	0.690***
	ICU Admission	Medical ICU, n (%)	289 (22.6)	40 (26.5)	249 (22.1)
Pulmonary ICU, n (%)		362 (28.4)	41 (27.2)	321 (28.5)	
Neurology ICU, n (%)		211 (16.5)	28 (18.5)	183 (16.3)	
Coronary ICU, n (%)		202 (15.8)	22 (14.6)	180 (16.0)	
Reanimation, n (%)		212 (16.6)	20 (13.2)	192 (17.1)	
Time to consultation request (days), Median (IQR)			5 (5)	-	N/a
Duration of ICU stay (days), Median (IQR)		8 (12)	10 (13)	8 (11)	0.007****
Mortality, n (%)		286 (22.4)	47 (31.1)	239 (21.2)	0.006**

*Student t test, **Pearson chi-square test, ***Fisher exact test, ****Mann whitney U test, n: number of cases, IQR: inrequantile range, DM: diabetes mellitus HT: hypertension. CF: heart failure, CAD: coronary artery disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CVD: cerebrovascular disease, CKF: chronic renal failure, ICU: intensive care unit.

Alzheimer's disease, parkinson, or stroke ($P > 0.05$ for all, **Table 2**). There was no significant association between ICU type and the rate of skin disorders ($P > 0.05$). Median duration of ICU stay was 8 days (IQR:12, min:2, max:89); median time to dermatology consultation was 5 days (IQR:5, min:0, max:35); and the overall mortality rate was 22.4%. The hospitalization period of patients with skin disease was significantly longer than without skin disease group ($P < 0.05$, **Table 2**). The rate of skin disorders increased with prolonged ICU stay, and they were associated with increased mortality ($P < 0.05$, **Table 2**).

Gender and age were not correlated to the rates of skin disorder subtypes ($P > 0.05$, **Table 3**). It was shown that diabetics most commonly had skin infections; patients with CRF mostly had drug reactions; and patients with Parkinson disease mostly had dermatoses ($P < 0.05$, **Table 3**). No significant correlation was found between lesion type and HT, HF, CAD, COPD, Alzheimer's disease, or stroke ($P > 0.05$, **Table**

3). The time to consultation was longest for patients diagnosed with skin infections and shortest for patients with dermatoses ($P < 0.05$, **Table 3**). There was no significant correlation between skin disorders, duration of ICU stays, and mortality ($P > 0.05$, **Table 3**). There was an increased rate of skin infections in patients in internal medicine ICUs, while the rates of drug reactions and dermatoses were significantly higher in patients admitted to other ICUs ($P < 0.05$, **Table 3**). It was detected that the factors significantly affecting development of dermatological lesions in ICU patients was duration of ICU hospitalization, the presence of CRF, COPD and malignancy ($P < 0.05$, **Table 4**).

Discussion

During stay at intensive care unit, prolonged immobilization, malnutrition, impaired tissue perfusion, immune system dysfunction, increased edema, fluctuations in body temperature, inadequate hygiene, hyperpyrexia, medications, and skin injuries may cause the disrupt

Dermatological disorders in medical critically ill patients

Table 3. Comparison of patients demographic properties between subgroups of skin disorders

		Infections (n:72) n (%)	Drug Reactions (n:24) n (%)	Dermatoses (n:55) n (%)	p	
Age (years), Mean \pm SD		75.5 (15)	75.5 (10)	78 (16)	0.599*	
Gender	Male, n (%)	36 (50.0)	10 (41.7)	19 (34.5)	0.217**	
	Female, n (%)	36 (50.0)	14 (58.3)	36 (65.5)		
Comorbidity	HT, n (%)	56 (77.8)	20 (83.3)	37 (67.3)	0.232**	
	DM, n (%)	59 (81.9)	9 (37.5)	26 (74.3)	<0.001**	
	HF, n (%)	20 (27.8)	7 (29.2)	22 (40.0)	0.322**	
	CAD, n (%)	29 (40.3)	8 (33.3)	20 (36.4)	0.803**	
	COPD, n (%)	19 (26.4)	11 (45.8)	25 (45.5)	0.050**	
	CVD, n (%)	16 (22.2)	2 (8.3)	11 (20.0)	0.412***	
	Malignancy, n (%)	21 (29.2)	10 (41.7)	14 (25.5)	0.345**	
	CRF, n (%)	21 (29.2)	14 (58.3)	17 (30.9)	0.027**	
	Alzheimer, n (%)	7 (9.7)	1 (4.2)	2 (3.6)	0.194***	
	Parkinson, n (%)	3 (4.2)	0	8 (14.5)	0.047***	
	Others, n (%)	1 (1.4)	0	1 (1.8)	>0.999***	
	ICU Admission	Medical ICU, n (%)	28 (38.9)	6 (25.0)	6 (10.9)	0.002***
		Pulmonary ICU, n (%)	16 (22.2)	9 (37.5)	16 (29.1)	
Neurology ICU, n (%)		11 (15.3)	2 (8.3)	15 (27.3)		
Coronary ICU, n (%)		10 (13.9)	4 (16.7)	8 (14.5)		
Reanimation, n (%)		7 (9.7)	3 (12.5)	10 (18.2)		
Time to consultation request days), Median (IQR)		7 (6)	6.5 (3)	5 (5)	0.010*	
Duration of ICU stay (days), Median (IQR)		10.5 (11)	10 (9)	10 (16)	0.693*	
Mortality, n (%)		26 (36.1)	5 (20.8)	16 (29.1)	0.345**	

*Kruskal Wallis test, **Pearson chi-square test, ***Fisher exact test, n: number of cases, IQR: inrequantile range, DM: diabetes mellutus HT: hypertension, HF: heart failure, CAD: coronary artery disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CVD: cerebrovascular disease, CRF: chronic renal failure, ICU: intensive care unit.

tion of skin barrier, leading to skin disorders [4, 6, 8].

In our study, skin disorders that developed in ICU patients were associated with age, comorbid conditions, duration of ICU stay, and mortality. The mean age of patients with the skin disease was significantly higher than without skin disease group. There was no significant correlation between the incidence of skin disease and gender. The rate of skin disorders increased as ICU stay prolonged, and they were associated with increased mortality. The frequency of skin disorders increased in patients with DM, CRF, and malignancy. There was no association between mortality and dermatological disorder subtypes.

Literature data suggest that the rate of skin pathologies developed at mixed intensive care units ranges between 2.2% and 21.5% [6, 10]. As medical problems with elevated death risk are at the center of focus in patients admitted

to ICU, dermatology consultations are fairly uncommon at these units [2]. In agreement with the literature, our study demonstrated a rate of 11.8% for dermatology consultation requests for patients admitted to ICU.

Fischer et al reported that skin infections occurred at a rate of 29% [10]. In a study conducted by Emre et al at the internal disease and anesthesiology ICUs, 38.9% of patients developed skin infections, with the most common skin infections being candidal infections followed by viral infections [4]. Candida species are the most common fungal subgroup, which infect patients as a result of temperature and humidity swings, as well as due to the administration of broad spectrum antibiotics, parenteral nutrition, immunosuppressive agents, as well as having comorbidities [11].

Candida infections tend to be located in body regions that are hardly ever kept clean and dry when patients are immobile (e.g inguinal, axil-

Dermatological disorders in medical critically ill patients

Table 4. Logistic regression analysis of the factors affecting the dermatological lesions

	Variables in the equation					
	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp (B)
Age	0.011	0.007	2.669	1	0.102	1.011
Gender	0.120	0.184	0.426	1	0.514	1.128
HT	-0.297	0.258	1.321	1	0.250	0.743
DM	0.311	0.226	1.889	1	0.169	1.364
HF	0.020	0.216	0.008	1	0.928	1.020
CAD	-0.003	0.200	0.000	1	0.989	0.997
COPD	0.443	0.207	4.588	1	0.032	1.558
CVD	-0.168	0.239	0.494	1	0.482	0.845
Malignancy	0.616	0.220	7.833	1	0.005	1.852
CRF	1.753	0.243	51.826	1	0.000	5.770
Alzheimer	0.033	0.395	0.007	1	0.934	1.033
Parkinson	0.331	0.373	0.790	1	0.374	1.393
Others	0.579	0.813	0.507	1	0.476	1.784
ICU Admission	0.152	0.078	3.809	1	0.051	1.165
Duration of ICU stay	0.014	0.007	4.872	1	0.027	1.014
Constant	-4.159	0.649	41.100	1	.000	0.016

Binary logistic regresyon, DM: diabetes mellutus HT: hypertension, HF: heart failure, CAD: coronary artery disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CVD: cerebrovascular disease, CRF: chronic renal failure, ICU: intensive care unit.

lary regions) [6]. It has been reported that vulvovaginal candidiasis is a serious risk factor for infection in diabetic patients admitted to ICU [3]. Our study detected infective skin disorders at a rate of 47.6%, among which fungal infections were the most common. We consider that a higher rate of infectious lesions in the present study compared to prior studies likely resulted from medically treated ICU patients who were more prone to infectious complications due to having a more advanced age, more prolonged immobility and debilitation, and a higher number of comorbidities. To our opinion, a lower bacterial infection rate despite a higher fungal infection rate resulted from the administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics, exclusion of decubitus lesions from our study, and the tendency of the candida species to be located at regions difficult to be kept clean. Furthermore, it should be remembered that immunosuppression caused by the primary disorder, comorbidities, immunosuppressive medications, and steroids may give rise to these opportunistic pathogens.

Emre et al reported that dermatoses are observed at intensive care units at a rate of 46.6%,

and the most common pathologies were frictional bullae and allergic contact dermatitis [4]. Fischer et al reported a dermatitis rate of 49.4% in the same setting [10]. Kara et al reported that the most common skin disorders were stasis dermatitis (25%) and diaper dermatitis (25%) in medically treated critically ill patients [2]. Skin becomes more fragile and edematous as a result of aging, primary disorder, immobilization, comorbidities, and medications used. When frequent friction is added on top of these structural alterations, frictional bullae develop [4, 12]. Antiseptic agents, especially chlorhexidine, medicated plasters, skin care creams, and monitoring electrodes are reportedly associated with allergic reactions [4, 6, 13]. The incidence of seborrheic dermatitis is reportedly increased by neurological disorders such as Parkinson disease, facial paralysis, supraorbital injury, poliomyelitis syringomyelia, epilepsy, quadriplegia, and unilateral injury to gasser ganglion [14]. The prevalence of dermatoses was 36.4% in our study, and as reported previously, the most common dermatosis subgroups were frictional bullae (32.7%) and allergic contact dermatitis (23.7%). To our opinion, the rate of dermatoses was lower in our study compared to other studies, possibly because of a greater quality of nursing care and use of air beds and quality antiseptics at our hospital. However, we also believe that the emergence of dermatosis is inevitable due to comorbidities, chlorhexidine used as the most common antiseptic, edema, and friction occurring during change of body position.

Prior studies have reported that drug reactions occur at a rate of 9.3% to 21.6% at intensive care units [2, 4, 6, 10, 15]. Genetic factors and inflammatory reactions have been implicated in the genesis of drug reactions [15]. Emre et al reported that drug reactions occurred in 14.5% of patients staying at intensive care units, and maculopapular rash was the most common reaction against antibiotics [4]. Trivalle et al stated that drug reactions create a risk for skin disorders in patients admitted to intensive care units [16]. Antibiotics and chemotherapeutics

are the most common medications causing this type of drug reactions [15]. Anticonvulsive agents, allopurinol, diuretics, and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs may also cause drug reactions, albeit more rarely [15]. Badia et al reported that vasoactive medications increased the clinical severity of skin disorders [6]. The rate of drug reactions was 16% in our study, a figure that was consistent with figures reported in other studies. In our study, maculopapular drug eruptions were the most common drug-associated lesions, with a rate of 66.7%. In ICU patients, drug reactions may commonly take place as a result of intensive pharmacological treatment of both the primary condition and comorbid conditions. We believe that the rate of maculopapular rash is higher at ICUs due to frequent antibiotic use to treat both the primary infectious disorder and nosocomial infections.

Former studies conducted in ICU patients have reported that skin disorders increase with older age [5, 8]. As skin ages, the number of dermal collagen and elastin fibers is reduced. In addition, total skin thickness is reduced as a result of dermal papillary flattening at the epidermal-dermal junction [17, 18]. Wollina and Nowak reported that dermatitis and other irritating skin disorders increase in number with aging, and they facilitate drug reactions and other skin disorders [8]. We found that skin pathologies became more prevalent as patients get older, although age was not correlated to the type of skin pathology. We believe that the prevalence of skin disorders increase with aging as a result of disrupted skin architecture, comorbidities, and medication use. We observed no significant differences between the types of skin disorders since patients had similar primary disorders and comorbidities, and they were treated according to similar treatment protocols.

Emre et al found a higher rate of skin disorders in female ICU patients [4]. Badia et al, however, failed to establish a link between sex and skin disorders [6]. We could not find any correlation between sex and skin disorder type. This may have occurred as a consequence of sex-independence of primary conditions, comorbidities, and administered medications.

Some studies have established a causal link between some comorbidities (DM, CRF; cardiovascular disorders, and immunosuppressive

agent use etc) and dermatological disorders [4, 6, 7, 15]. It has been stated that bullae become more common as a result of increased edema, and dermatoses increased in prevalence as a result of increased vitamin levels in epidermis as a result of the actions of sebaceous glands and sweat glands [4, 19]. Fischer et al reported an increased rate of skin infections in ICU patients using immunosuppressives [15]. Badia et al reported that diabetes and corticosteroid use increased the rate of skin infections [6]. Dunnill et al reported that the rate of vulvovaginal candidiasis increased in ICU patients with DM [3]. Saçar T and Saçar H demonstrated that the rate of seborrheic dermatitis increased as a result of immobilization, neurotransmitter abnormalities, and medication use [14]. Our study also showed that the rate of dermatological disorders increased in cases with DM, CRF, and malignancy. Furthermore, dermatoses were found to increase in parkinsonism, infections in DM, adverse drug reactions in CRF. We believe that the rate of infective cutaneous signs increased in number as a result of increased rates of candidal and bacterial infections in diabetic patients. In patients with CRF, drug reactions and dermatoses may have increased in frequency secondary to increased edema and reduced drug elimination. We suggest that the rate of dermatoses increased in patients with Parkinson disease due to immobilization, neurotransmitter abnormalities, and medication use.

Our literature review indicated that no study has compared different ICUs for skin disorders. In this study, it was shown that skin infections were relatively more common in patients admitted to medical ICU; the rates of drug reactions and dermatoses were significantly higher in patients admitted to other ICUs. The rate of skin infections may have been increased due to metabolic factors responsible for admission of these patients to internal medicine ICU and having comorbidities such as CRF and DM may increase the likelihood of skin infections. We believe that the rate of drug reactions increased in patients admitted to reanimation and chest diseases ICUs since infected patients such as those with sepsis or pneumonia are admitted to these ICUs, and intensive antibiotic therapy is administered to these patients.

Detmatoses may have increased at neurological ICU and anesthesiology and reanimation units as a result of more prolonged immobility,

as well as an increased prevalence of seborrheic dermatitis in neurological disorders. We found no difference between ICUs with respect to the rate of skin disorders.

Our literature review did not show any study investigating the time to consultation request and the type of dermatological disorder. The time to consultation was longest for patients diagnosed with skin infections and shortest for patients with dermatoses. In our study, the time to consultation request was longest for skin infections and shortest for dermatoses, which, to our opinion, was due to the fact that dermatoses directly become clinically evident whereas infective lesions become manifest only after the expiration of an incubation period. Moreover, skin infections may have also become clinically evident late in disease course since steroids and immunosuppressives impair body immunity, as well as infections are added to main clinical picture after a certain period of time.

Prior studies have indicated that skin disorders are frequent in patients with fatal conditions and/or prolonged ICU stay [4-6, 8]. Dermatological disorders may prolonged ICU stay and vice versa [2, 7]. It has been shown that eliminating skin disorders added to pre-existing disorders prolongs survival [8]. In line with literature reports, our study revealed that patients with fatal conditions and prolonged ICU stay had an increased rate of skin disorders although the rates of different subtypes of skin disorders were similar. We believe that the rate of dermatological conditions increases as patients receive more aggressive therapies due to a worse general status, and due to immobility. Moreover, we also think that skin disorders prolong ICU stay and increase mortality.

In conclusion, skin disorders in patients treated at ICU were associated with increased age, comorbid conditions, duration of ICU stay, and mortality rate. We believe an improved cooperation between ICU physicians and dermatology department will increase the quality of patient care, shorten hospital stay, and reduce mortality rate.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Neriman Defne Altintas, Ankara University, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey for her contributions.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Suzan Demir Pektas, Department of Dermatology, Mugla Sıtkı Kocman University Faculty of Medicine, 48000, Mugla, Turkey. Tel: +902522115219; Fax: +90252-3116768; E-mail: suzandpektas@gmail.com

References

- [1] Uysal N, Gündoğdu N, Börekçi Ş, Dikensoy Ö, Bayram N, Uyar M, Bayram H, Filiz A, Ekinci E and Mutlu GM. Üçüncü basamak merkezde dahili yoğun bakım hastalarının prognozu. *Yoğun Bakım Derg* 2010; 1: 1-5.
- [2] Kara A, Ortaç E, Hapa A, Öcal S and Topeli A. Yoğun bakım ünitesinde karşılaşılan dermatolojik sorunlar ve dermatoloji konsültasyonu. *Turkish Journal of Medical & Surgical Intensive Care Medicine/Dahili ve Cerrahi Bilimler Yoğun Bakım Dergisi* 2015; 6.
- [3] Dunnill M, Handfield-Jones S, Treacher D and McGibbon D. Dermatology in the intensive care unit. *Br J Dermatol* 1995; 132: 226-235.
- [4] Emre S, Emre C, Akoglu G, Demirseren DD and Metin A. Evaluation of dermatological consultations of patients treated in intensive care unit. *Dermatology* 2013; 226: 75-80.
- [5] Cox J. Predictors of pressure ulcers in adult critical care patients. *Am J Crit Care* 2011; 20: 364-375.
- [6] Badia M, Serviá L, Casanova JM, Montserrat N, Vilanova J, Vicario E, Rodriguez A and Trujillano J. Classification of dermatological disorders in critical care patients: a prospective observational study. *J Crit Care* 2013; 28: 220, e1-8.
- [7] George SM, Harrison DA, Welch CA, Nolan KM and Friedmann PS. Dermatological conditions in intensive care: a secondary analysis of the intensive care national audit & research centre (ICNARC) case mix programme database. *Crit Care* 2008; 12: 1.
- [8] Wollina U and Nowak A. Dermatology in the intensive care unit. *Our Dermatol Online* 2012; 3: 298-303.
- [9] Elias PM and Feingold KR. Does the tail wag the dog?: role of the barrier in the pathogenesis of inflammatory dermatoses and therapeutic implications. *Arch Dermatol* 2001; 137: 1079-1081.
- [10] Fischer M, Soukup J, Wohlrab J, Radke J and Marsch W. Key dermatological symptoms in the intensive care unit. *Int J Dermatol* 2004; 43: 780-782.
- [11] Acar A, Oncül O, Kuecukardali Y, Ozyurt M, Haznedaroğlu T and Cavuşlu S. [Epidemiological features of candida infections detected in

Dermatological disorders in medical critically ill patients

- intensive care units and risk factors affecting mortality]. *Mikrobiyol Bul* 2008; 42: 451-461.
- [12] Del Mar Campos-Fernández M, Ponce-de-León-Rosales S, Archer-Dubon C and Orozco-Topete R. Incidence and risk factors for cutaneous adverse drug reactions in an intensive care unit. *Rev Invest Clin* 2005; 57: 770-774.
- [13] Liippo J, Kousa P and Lammintausta K. The relevance of chlorhexidine contact allergy. *Contact Dermatitis* 2011; 64: 229-234.
- [14] Saçar T and Saçar H. Seboreic dermatitis. *J Clin Anal Med* 2011; 2: 57-60.
- [15] Fischer M, William T and Wohlrab J. Skin diseases in intensive care medicine. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2009; 7: 108-115.
- [16] Trivalle C, Cartier T, Verny C, Mathieu AM, Davrinche P, Agostini H, Becquemont L and Demolis P. Identifying and preventing adverse drug events in elderly hospitalised patients: a randomised trial of a program to reduce adverse drug effects. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2010; 14: 57-61.
- [17] Waller JM and Maibach HI. Age and skin structure and function, a quantitative approach (I): blood flow, pH, thickness, and ultrasound echogenicity. *Skin Res Technol* 2005; 11: 221-235.
- [18] Farage MA, Miller KW, Berardesca E and Maibach HI. Clinical implications of aging skin. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2009; 10: 73-86.
- [19] Onelmis H, Sener S, Sasmaz S and Ozer A. Cutaneous changes in patients with chronic renal failure on hemodialysis. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* 2012; 31: 286-291.