Applied Ichthyology

J. Appl. Ichthyol. 31 (2015), 398–402 © 2014 Blackwell Verlag GmbH ISSN 0175–8659



Received: November 11, 2013 Accepted: February 28, 2014 doi: 10.1111/jai.12459

Technical contribution

First length-weight relationships of 11 fish species in the Aegean Sea

BY S. Yapici¹, P. K. Karachle² and H. Filiz¹

¹Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Fisheries, Kötekli, Muğla, Turkey; ²Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, Anavyssos Attiki, Greece

Summary

Weight-length relationships were established for eleven marine fish species caught in the SE Aegean Sea, Turkey. Additionally, a bibliographic review of such relationships for these species was conducted. Based on the results, the values of *b* parameter varied between 2.477 and 3.496, with one species having isometric growth, five negative and six positive allometric growth. Furthermore, for *Aulopus filamentosus* there exist no information in the literature, whilst for *Callanthias ruber* and *Gnathophis mystax*, there are no such information available from the Mediterranean.

Introduction

Weight-length relationships (WLR) are widely used in fisheries science for (i) the estimation of the weight for a given length for individual fish, (ii) the estimation of biomass when the length-frequency distribution is known, and (iii) the estimation of condition indices (Anderson and Gutreuter, 1983; Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Froese, 2006; Tarkan et al., 2006; Froese et al., 2011). Additionally, such relationships are of high importance for comparing life histories of fishes between different areas of a species distribution (Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002; Froese and Pauly, 2014) and hence for fisheries management (Froese et al., 2011).

In present study, we report WLR for 11 fish species from the SE Aegean Sea, Turkey. For *Aulopus filamentosus*, there is no WLR available in the relevant literature. Additionally, with the exception of *Trisopterus capelanus*, for all the remaining species, there is no WLR reported in FishBase (www.fishbase.org; Froese and Pauly, 2014), and for two species, namely *Callanthias ruber* and *Gnathophis mystax*, there is no such information available from the Mediterranean in the relevant literature.

Materials and methods

During October and December 2011, experimental trawl surveys were carried out in the area of South Aegean Sea (Fig. 1). Samplings were performed using the traditional Ottoman bottom trawl (cod end 40-mm-stretched mesh size), by R/V Akyarlar (22.6 m LOA, 485 HP). Sampling depth varied from 30 to 225 m. A towing duration was 45 min for all hauls, and

the average towing speed ranged between 2.4 and 2.8 knots (mean 2.5 knots). Fish species were identified based on Whitehead et al. (1986) and validated with FishBase (Froese and Pauly, 2014). Collecting individuals were measured to 0.1 cm total length (TL) and weighed (W) to the 0.01 g in the laboratory. All WLRs were estimated using the allometric model, that is $W = aTL^b$, where a is the coefficient of shape and b is the power fulfilling the dimensional balance (Lleonart et al., 2000). In cases where b-values equal 3, then the growth of fish is isometric, whereas when b is smaller or larger than 3, the growth is considered as negative or positive allometric (Lleonart et al., 2000; Froese, 2006).

Following, available WLR for the eleven species were gathered from the relevant literature, using online search engines (i.e. Google Scholar, Web of Science and Scopus). Literature retrieved was tabulated and the following information was extracted: (i) study area; (ii) number of individuals; (iii) length range of the sample; (iv) *a* and *b* parameters of the WLR; and (e) standard error of *b* (SE_{*b*}) and coefficient of determination (r^2).



Fig. 1. Map of South Aegean Sea indicating sampling areas (in circles)

Weight-length relationships of 11 marine fishes

Table 1 Weight-length relationships for 11 fish species from the SE Aegean Sea, Turkey, and from other areas of their distribution

| Area | LR | Ν | Sex | а | b | SE_b | r^2 | Reference |
|--|-------------|------|-----|----------|---------|--------|-------|--|
| Aulopus filamentosus | | | | | | | | |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 23.7–32.8 | 11 | С | 0.0065 | 3.099 | 0.125 | 0.99 | Present study |
| Apogon queketti Iskondorun Poy, Turkov | 71 122 | 18 | C | 0.0157 | 2.050 | 0.100 | 0.05 | Ergudon at al. (2000) |
| SE Aegean Sea Turkey | 10 7-11 4 | 40 | C | 0.0869 | 3.059 | 0.100 | 0.93 | Present study |
| Callanthias ruber | 1017 1111 | | e | 010005 | 21001 | 0.010 | 0.72 | Tresente stady |
| North Atlantic | | 31 | | 0.0517 | 2.250 | | | Hirch (2009) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 5.7-13.5 | 44 | С | 0.0243 | 2.477 | 0.085 | 0.99 | Present study |
| Champsodon nudivittis | | | | | | | | |
| Ekincik Bay, Turkey | 4.7-13.3 | 99 | С | 0.003 | 3.280 | | 0.95 | Filiz et al. (2014) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 6.2-12.7 | 111 | С | 0.0049 | 3.146 | 0.029 | 0.97 | Present study |
| Gnathophis mystax | 17.5 20.2 | 115 | C | 0.001 | 2.059 | | 0.05 | Termer et al. (2012) |
| SE Aggoon See, Turkey | 17.3-39.2 | 115 | C | 0.001 | 2.010 | 0.044 | 0.95 | Present study |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey Hymenocenhalus italicus | 17.5-39.7 | 400 | C | 0.0015 | 2.919 | 0.044 | 0.97 | Fresent study |
| Balearic Islands and Iberian Coast | 2.2-5.1 | 69 | С | 0.1277 | 2.796 | 0.120 | 0.97 | Morey et al. (2003) |
| Sigacik Bay, Turkey | 6.7–16.8 | 98 | Č | 0.0069 | 2.510 | | 0.88 | Filiz and Taskavak (2008) |
| Antalya Bay, Turkey | 8.2-15.5 | 76 | C | 0.0077 | 2.450 | | 0.77 | Deval et al. (2013) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 7.4-14.9 | 91 | С | 0.0034 | 2.891 | 0.158 | 0.86 | Present study |
| Lagocephalus suezensis | | | | | | | | |
| Iskenderun Bay, Turkey | 10.2 - 16.7 | 86 | С | 0.0236 | 2.749 | 0.063 | 0.96 | Erguden et al. (2009) |
| Israel | 6.0–19.5 | 128 | С | 0.012 | 2.990 | 0.004 | 0.97 | Edelist (2012) |
| Iskenderun Bay, Turkey | 6.5-17.1 | 979 | С | 0.0198 | 2.795 | 0.001 | 0.86 | Başusta et al. (2013) |
| Iskenderun Bay, Turkey | /.1-1/.1 | 485 | F | 0.0145 | 2.914 | 0.002 | 0.88 | Başusta et al. (2013) |
| SE Aggeon Seo, Turkey | 0.5-10.7 | 494 | C | 0.027 | 2.070 | 0.005 | 0.85 | Başusta et al. (2015) Present study |
| Lesueurigobius suerii | 11.5-14.1 | 15 | C | 0.0189 | 2.751 | 0.028 | 0.94 | Tresent study |
| Thracian Sea. Greece | 3.9-7.5 | 23 | С | 0.0155 | 2.561 | 0.201 | 0.89 | Lamprakis et al. (2003) |
| N. Aegean Sea, Greece | 5.8-9.4 | 141 | C | 0.0086 | 2.928 | 0.099 | 0.86 | Karachle and Stergiou (2008) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 3.9-4.4 | 13 | С | 0.0096 | 2.933 | 0.091 | 0.91 | Present study |
| Rostroraja alba | | | | | | | | |
| Saros Bay, Turkey | 9.5-93.0 | 43 | С | 0.00662 | 3.201 | 0.038 | 0.99 | Ismen et al. (2007) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 25.2-53.4 | 11 | С | 0.009 | 3.478 | 0.142 | 0.99 | Ozaydin et al. (2007) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 16.1-35.2 | 5 | C | 0.0083 | 3.130 | 0.122 | 0.99 | likyaz et al. (2008) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 20.1-52.0 | 12 | C | 0.0021 | 3.214 | 0.133 | 0.99 | Present study |
| Thracian Sea Greece | 47-130 | 406 | C | 0.0029 | 3 4 5 2 | 0.049 | 0.92 | Lamprakis et al. (2003) |
| Balearic Islands and Iberian Coast | 6.0-12.0 | 34 | č | 0.0091 | 2.833 | 0.243 | 0.72 | Morev et al. (2003) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 7.3-12.2 | 182 | С | 0.0088 | 2.980 | | 0.96 | İlkyaz et al. (2008) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 7.7-12.2 | 130 | F | 0.0101 | 2.920 | | 0.94 | İlkyaz et al. (2008) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 7.3-11.8 | 52 | Μ | 0.0083 | 3.000 | | 0.95 | İlkyaz et al. (2008) |
| N. Aegean Sea, Greece | 6.4–11.9 | 10 | С | 0.0024 | 3.416 | 0.123 | 0.99 | Karachle and Stergiou (2008) |
| Gulf of Cadiz, Spain | 6.2–24.9 | 123 | С | 0.0077 | 2.983 | | 0.97 | Torres et al. (2012) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 7.8–10.6 | 10 | С | 0.0027 | 3.496 | 0.071 | 0.96 | Present study |
| G Envoites and Pagassitikos Grazza | 50 270 | 2214 | C | 0.00376 | 2 274 | | 0.06 | P apagonstantinou at al. $(1080)^{a}$ |
| G. Evvolkos and Pagassitikos, Greece | 5.0-27.0 | 2314 | C | 0.00376 | 3.274 | | 0.90 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1989) |
| G Evvoikos Greece | 5.0-31.0 | 4519 | C | 0.005910 | 3 217 | | 0.96 | Politou and Papaconstantinou (1987) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | 5.0 51.0 | 101 | M | 0.0049 | 3.250 | 0.1 | 0.90 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | 414 | F | 0.0065 | 3.160 | 0.028 | 0.96 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | 954 | Μ | 0.0064 | 3.150 | 0.022 | 0.94 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | 1492 | F | 0.0045 | 3.290 | 0.015 | 0.96 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | 439 | Μ | 0.0076 | 3.100 | 0.024 | 0.96 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | 712 | F | 0.0076 | 3.110 | 0.013 | 0.98 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | 740 | M | 0.0083 | 3.050 | 0.025 | 0.94 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Southern Tuscan Archipelago | | /64 | F | 0.0053 | 3.240 | 0.024 | 0.96 | Biagi et al. (1992) |
| Italy | | | M | 0.0051 | 3.186 | | | Campillo (1992) |
| C. Aegean Sea, Greece | 4.4-21.9 | 882 | C | 0.0056 | 3,230 | | 0.93 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1993) ^a |
| C. Aegean Sea, Greece | | 306 | M | 0.005721 | 3.226 | | 0.94 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1993) ^a |
| C. Aegean Sea, Greece | | 291 | F | 0.004215 | 3.346 | | 0.96 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1993) ^a |
| C. Aegean Sea, Greece | | 106 | Μ | 0.006765 | 3.149 | | 0.90 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1993) ^a |
| C. Aegean Sea, Greece | | 157 | F | 0.007611 | 3.118 | | 0.91 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1993) ^a |
| C. Aegean Sea, Greece | 5.4-19.4 | 614 | С | 0.005051 | 3.272 | | 0.90 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1993) ^a |
| N. Aegean Sea, Greece | 6.0-29.0 | 2522 | C | 0.00715 | 3.147 | | 0.94 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1994) ^a |
| N. Aegean Sea, Greece | | 888 | F | 0.006365 | 3.191 | | 0.97 | Papaconstantinou et al. (1994) ^a |
| IN. Aegean Sea, Greece | | 112 | 111 | 0.007244 | 3.144 | | 0.93 | rapaconstantinou et al. (1994)" |

400

Table 1

(Continued)

| Area | LR | Ν | Sex | а | b | SE_b | r^2 | Reference |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------|-----|---------|-------|--------|-------|------------------------------|
| Eastern Adriatic, Croatia | 11.2-24.3 | 109 | С | 0.01095 | 3.220 | 0.06 | 0.96 | Dulčić and Kraljevic (1996) |
| Balearic Islands, Spain | 8.4-15.6 | 61 | С | 0.0075 | 3.060 | | 0.99 | Merella et al. (1997) |
| Balearic Islands and Iberian Coast | 8.7-20.6 | 56 | С | 0.0042 | 3.343 | 0.15 | 0.94 | Morey et al. (2003) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 141 | F | 0.0047 | 3.323 | 0.0673 | 0.97 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 125 | F | 0.0052 | 3.265 | 0.0775 | 0.98 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 208 | F | 0.0064 | 3.185 | 0.0735 | 0.95 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 626 | F | 0.007 | 3.156 | 0.0838 | 0.97 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 152 | F | 0.0092 | 3.049 | 0.0806 | 0.98 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 143 | Μ | 0.0085 | 3.081 | 0.0876 | 0.94 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 168 | Μ | 0.0089 | 3.049 | 0.0669 | 0.94 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 809 | Μ | 0.0094 | 3.038 | 0.0699 | 0.94 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 275 | Μ | 0.0102 | 3.006 | 0.0592 | 0.94 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | | 223 | Μ | 0.0103 | 3.006 | 0.0681 | 0.94 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 10.6-24.8 | 1527 | С | 0.0072 | 3.140 | 0.0792 | 0.97 | Metin et al. (2006) |
| Saros Bay, Turkey | 10.2-20.6 | 229 | С | 0.00563 | 3.203 | 0.05 | 0.95 | Ismen et al. (2007) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 8.4-22.6 | 780 | С | 0.0071 | 3.166 | 0.017 | 0.98 | Özaydin et al. (2007) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 6.8-20.5 | 980 | С | 0.0065 | 3.180 | | 0.98 | İlkyaz et al. (2008) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 6.8-20.5 | 554 | F | 0.0067 | 3.170 | | 0.98 | İlkyaz et al. (2008) |
| Izmir Bay, Turkey | 9.8-18.8 | 426 | Μ | 0.0074 | 3.120 | | 0.97 | İlkyaz et al. (2008) |
| N. Aegean Sea, Greece | 5.7-24.5 | 174 | С | 0.0056 | 3.246 | 0.024 | 0.99 | Karachle and Stergiou (2008) |
| North Sicily | 4.5-22.5 | 299 | С | 0.0076 | 3.128 | 0.025 | 0.98 | Giacalone et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 12.4-17.1 | 40 | Μ | 0.0087 | 3.021 | 0.169 | 0.91 | Šantić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 12.3-25.5 | 66 | F | 0.0082 | 3.100 | 0.059 | 0.97 | Šantić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 12.3-25.5 | 106 | С | 0.008 | 3.076 | 0.119 | 0.97 | Šantić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.3-15.0 | 46 | Μ | 0.0062 | 3.181 | 0.163 | 0.93 | Šantić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.8-19.5 | 67 | F | 0.005 | 3.381 | 0.085 | 0.95 | Šantić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.3-19.5 | 113 | С | 0.0041 | 3.322 | 0.123 | 0.95 | Šantić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 12.6-16.0 | 40 | Μ | 0.0016 | 3.179 | 0.267 | 0.84 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 12.0-18.6 | 70 | F | 0.003 | 3.370 | 0.153 | 0.84 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 12.0-18.6 | 110 | С | 0.002 | 3.276 | 0.102 | 0.86 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.7 - 20.1 | 41 | Μ | 0.0134 | 3.030 | 0.145 | 0.92 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.3 - 18.1 | 71 | F | 0.008 | 3.219 | 0.089 | 0.95 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.3 - 20.1 | 112 | С | 0.0064 | 3.133 | 0.092 | 0.95 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.3-15.0 | 35 | Μ | 0.0067 | 2.899 | 0.072 | 0.99 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.7–19.3 | 65 | F | 0.0201 | 3.104 | 0.086 | 0.94 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.3–19.3 | 100 | С | 0.0099 | 3.07 | 0.076 | 0.96 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.7 - 20.4 | 38 | Μ | 0.0109 | 2.892 | 0.291 | 0.85 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.8-21.3 | 63 | F | 0.0131 | 2.957 | 0.109 | 0.91 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.7–21.3 | 101 | С | 0.0513 | 2.921 | 0.111 | 0.90 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.5-20.3 | 37 | Μ | 0.0087 | 2.724 | 0.21 | 0.95 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.7-22.6 | 62 | F | 0.0213 | 2.788 | 0.087 | 0.93 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.5-22.6 | 99 | С | 0.0177 | 2.788 | 0.096 | 0.93 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 8.9-21.5 | 40 | M | 0.0117 | 2.740 | 0.14 | 0.96 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.4–19.5 | 63 | F | 0.0266 | 2.802 | 0.921 | 0.93 | Santic et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 8.9-21.5 | 103 | С | 0.018 | 2.772 | 0.135 | 0.94 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 9.2–17.2 | 38 | M | 0.007 | 2.907 | 0.126 | 0.95 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.2-22.5 | 70 | F | 0.0101 | 2.984 | 0.079 | 0.95 | Santic et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 9.2-22.6 | 108 | С | 0.008 | 2.932 | 0.115 | 0.95 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.0-16.2 | 35 | M | 0.0163 | 2.930 | 0.216 | 0.91 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.1–19.2 | 65 | F | 0.0096 | 2.976 | 0.095 | 0.93 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.0–19.2 | 100 | С | 0.0082 | 2.941 | 0.129 | 0.92 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.4–15.2 | 36 | M | 0.0181 | 2.994 | 0.236 | 0.88 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.7-20.8 | 68 | F | 0.0173 | 3.030 | 0.095 | 0.92 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.4-20.8 | 104 | C | 0.0146 | 2.975 | 0.102 | 0.91 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.2–14.9 | 37 | M | 0.0199 | 2.963 | 0.149 | 0.89 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.4–18.1 | 70 | F | 0.0098 | 3.005 | 0.129 | 0.91 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 11.2-18.1 | 107 | C | 0.0096 | 3.011 | 0.104 | 0.90 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 8.9-21.5 | 463 | M | 0.001 | 2.930 | 0.14 | 0.93 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 10.3-22.5 | 800 | F | 0.0012 | 3.090 | 0.112 | 0.94 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| E. Adriatic Sea, Croatia | 8.9-22.5 | 1263 | C | 0.0011 | 2.981 | 0.218 | 0.90 | Santić et al. (2010) |
| SE Aegean Sea, Turkey | 8.5-22.2 | 695 | С | 0.0071 | 3.167 | 0.0185 | 0.98 | Present study |

LR, length range (in cm); N, number of individuals; M, males; F, Females; C, both sexes combined; *a* and *b*, parameters of the weight–length relationship; SE_b , standard error of *b*; r^2 , coefficient of determination. ^aData from Stergiou and Moutopoulos (2001). ^bData from FishBase (Froese and Pauly, 2014).

Results and discussion

Overall, 1479 specimens, belonging to 11 species and 11 families, were collected. All relationships were significant (P > 0.001), with values of r^2 ranging from 0.86 (for Hymenocephalus italicus) to 0.99 (for A. filamentosus, C. ruber and Rostroraja alba). The descriptive statistics and calculated WLR parameters are given in Table 1. Review of the relevant literature revealed that there were no previously established WLR for A. filamentosus, whereas for C. ruber and G. mystax, such relationships have been established only for their Atlantic populations [Hirch (2009) for the former species and Torres et al. (2012) for the latter; Table 1]. With the exception of T. capelanus, for the remaining seven species, such information is rather limited. In the case of T. capelanus, due to the fact that it was only identified as a valid species recently (Delling et al., 2011) and till then it was in synonymous with T. minutus, all available information in FishBase is listed under T. minutus. According to Delling et al. (2011), apart from molecular and morphological differences, the two species have different geographical distribution, with T. capelanus being present in the Mediterranean and T. minutus in the Atlantic. Based on the above, in the present study, all available information on T. minutus within the Mediterranean was considered to refer to T. capelanus. Under this scope, literature search yielded 82 different estimates of WLR (Table 1), the vast majority of which are from the Adriatic Sea (Dulčić and Kraljevic, 1996; Šantić et al., 2010). The b-values of the relationship ranged from 2.724 to 3.381 [mean \pm standard deviation $(SD) = 3.0943 \pm 0.154$; median = 3.11]. In 54 cases, WLR were estimated for the two sexes separately (27 cases per sex; Table 1), with females having a statistically significant higher b-value than males (\bigcirc : mean \pm SD = 3.140 \pm 0.160; $\vec{\odot}$: mean \pm SD = 3.035 \pm 0.134).

For the eleven species studied here, the values of parameter *b* ranged between 2.477 (*C. ruber*) and 3.496 (*Symphurus nigrescens*) (Table 1). Only one species showed isometric growth (*Apogon queketti*), whilst negative allometric growth was observed in five species (*C. ruber*, *G. mystax*, *H. italicus*, *Lagocephalus suezensis* and *Lesuerigobius suerii*) and positive allometric growth in six species (*A. filamentosus*, *Champsodon nudivittis*, *R. alba*, *S. nigrescens*, *T. capelanus*). In general, there were no differences observed between previously reported *b*-values and those estimated in the present study (Table 1).

In this study, WLR were presented for eleven species from SE Aegean Sea, as well as an overview of such relationships in the relevant literature. The estimation of WLR parameters may be influenced by a series of factors, such as seasonality, habitat, sex and maturity of a species (e.g. Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Dulčić and Kraljevic, 1996; Gonçalves et al., 1997; Karachle and Stergiou, 2008). Yet, the fact that samplings were conducted only in one season, as well as the low number of individuals in the majority of species studied here, did not allow separate estimations of WLR by season or sex. Nevertheless, given the lack of such information for the species presented here, WLR estimated are of high importance for fisheries research in the area. However, further use of

WLR should be limited to the size ranges used for the estimation of the parameters (Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Dulčić and Kraljevic, 1996).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Muğla University Scientific Research Fund (BAP 11/33). The authors would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali Serhan Tarkan from Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University for his valuable comment for this manuscript. Finally, the authors would also like to thank the 'Republic of Turkey, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, General Directorate of Protection and Control' and 'Turkish Coast Guard Command (TCGC)' and 'TCG Aegean Sea Area Command' for giving trawling permission in prohibited areas during the survey.

References

- Anderson, R. O.; Gutreuter, S. J., 1983: Length, weight, and associated structural indices. In: Fisheries techniques. L. Nielsen and D. Johnson (Eds). American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, pp. 284–300.
- Başusta, A.; Başusta, N.; Özer, E. I.; Girgin, H.; Aslan, E., 2013: Some population parameters of the Lessepsian Suez puffer (*Lagocephalus suezensis*) from Iskenderun Bay, northeastern Mediterranean, Turkey. Pak. J. Zool. 45, 1779–1782.
- Biagi, F.; de Ranieri, S.; Viva, C., 1992: Recruitment, length at first maturity and feeding of poor-cod, *Trisopterus minutus capelanus*, in the northern Tyrrhenian Sea. Boll. Zool. 59, 87–93.
- Campillo, A., 1992: Les pêcheries françaises de Méditeranée: synthèse des connaissances. Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer, France, pp. 206.
- Delling, B.; Noren, M.; Kullander, S. O.; González, J. A., 2011: Taxonomic review of the genus Trisopterus (Teleostei: Gadidae) with recognition of the capelan *Trisopterus capelanus* as a valid species. J. Fish Biol. **79**, 1236–1260.
- Deval, M. C.; Güven, O.; Saygu, İ.; Kabapçıoğlu, T., 2013: Lengthweight relationships of 10 fish species found off Antalya Bay, eastern Mediterranean. J. Appl. Ichthyol. doi: 10.1111/jai.12382.
- Dulčić, J.; Kraljevic, M., 1996: Weight-length relationships for 40 fish species in the eastern Adriatic (Croatian waters). Fish. Res. 28, 243-251.
- Edelist, D., 2012: New length-weight relationships and L_{max} values for fishes from then Southeastern Mediterranean Sea. J. Appl. Ichthyol. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0426.2012.02060.x.
- Erguden, D.; Turan, C.; Gurlek, M., 2009: Weight–length relationships for 20 Lessepsian fish species caught by bottom trawl on the coast of Iskenderun Bay (NE Mediterranean Sea, Turkey). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 25, 133–135.
- Filiz, H.; Taskavak, E., 2008: Length-weight relationships of three macrourid fishes in the Eastern Aegean Sea, Turkey. Am. Fish. Soc. Symp. 63, 163–169.
- Filiz, H.; Akcinar, S. C.; Irmak, E., 2014: Occurrence, length-weight and length-length relationships of *Champsodon nudivittis* (Ogilby, 1895) in the Aegean Sea. J. Appl. Ichthyol. **30**, 415–417.
- Froese, R., 2006: Cubelaw, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22, 241–253.
- Froese, R.; Pauly, D., 2014: FishBase. Available at: http://www.fishbase.org (accessed on 14 January 2014).
- Froese, R.; Tsikliras, A. C.; Stergiou, K. I., 2011: Editorial note on weight–length relations of fishes. Acta Ichthyol. Piscat. 41, 261–263.
- Giacalone, V. M.; D'Anna, G.; Badalamenti, F.; Pipitone, C., 2010: Weight-length relationships and condition factor trends for thirty-eight fish species in trawled and untrawled areas off the

coast of northern Sicily (central Mediterranean Sea). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 26, 954–957.

- Gonçalves, J. M. S.; Bentes, L.; Lino, P. G.; Ribeiro, J.; Canário, A. V. M.; Erzini, K., 1997: Weight–length relationships for selected fish species of the small-scale demersal fisheries of the south and southwest coast of Portugal. Fish. Res. 30, 253– 256.
- Hirch, S., 2009: Trophic interactions at seamounts. PhD Thesis, University of Hamburg, 211 p.
- İlkyaz, A. T.; Metin, G.; Soykan, O.; Kinacigil, H. T., 2008: Length-weight relationship of 62 fish species from the Central Aegean Sea, Turkey. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 24, 699–702.
- Ismen, A.; Ozen, O.; Altinagac, U.; Ozekinci, U.; Ayaz, A., 2007: Weight–length relationships of 63 fish species in Saros Bay, Turkey. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 23, 707–708.
- Karachle, P. K.; Stergiou, K. I., 2008: Length-length and lengthweight relationships of several fish species from the North Aegean Sea (Greece). J. Biol. Res. (Thessalon) 10, 149–157.
- Lamprakis, M. K.; Kallianiotis, A. A.; Moutopoulos, D. K.; Stergiou, K. I., 2003: Weight-length relationships of fishes discarded by trawlers in the North Aegean Sea. Acta Ichthyol. Piscat. 33, 145–152.

- Lleonart, J.; Salat, J.; Torres, G. J., 2000: Removing allometric effects of body size in morphological analysis. J. Theor. Biol. 205, 85–93.
- Merella, P.; Quetglas, A.; Alemany, F.; Carbonell, A., 1997: Lengthweight relationship of fishes and cephalopods from the Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean). Naga ICLARM 20, 66–68.
- Metin, G.; Ilkyaz, A. T.; Kinacigil, H. T., 2006: Length-weight relationships of poor cod (*Trisopterum minutus* Linnaeus, 1758) in the Central Aegean Sea. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22, 288–289.
- Morey, G.; Moranta, J.; Massuti, E.; Grau, A.; Linde, M.; Riera, F.; Morales-Nin, B., 2003: Weight-length relationships of littoral to lower slope fishes from the western Mediterranean. Fish. Res. 62, 89–96.
- Moutopoulos, D. K.; Stergiou, K. I., 2002: Length-weight and length-length relationships of fish species from the Aegean Sea (Greece). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 18, 200–203.
- Ozaydin, O.; Uçkun, D.; Akalın, S.; Leblebici, S.; Tosunoğlu, Z., 2007: Length-weight relationships of fishes captured from Izmir Bay, Central Aegean Sea. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 23, 695–696.
- Author's address: Sercan Yapici, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Fisheries, 48000 Kötekli, Muğla, Turkey. E-mail: sercanyapici@mu.edu.tr