

Bosnian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences ISSN: 1512-8601 (Print) | ISSN: 1840-4812 (Online) | OPEN ACCESS Journal Impact Factor® (2019): 2.05 <u>CiteScore® (2019): 3.2</u> www.bjbms.org | blog.bjbms.org

The BJBMS publishes an "Advanced online" manuscript format as a free service to authors in order to expedite the dissemination of scientific findings to the research community as soon as possible after acceptance following peer review and corresponding modification (where appropriate). An "Advanced online" manuscript is published online prior to copyediting, formatting for publication and author proofing, but is nonetheless, fully citable through its Digital Object Identifier (doi®). Nevertheless, this "Advanced online" version is NOT the final version of the manuscript. When the final version of this paper is published within a definitive issue of the journal with copyediting, full pagination, etc. the new final version will be accessible through the same doi and this "Advanced online" version of the paper will disappear.

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## PATHOLOGY

Birol Ocak et al.: The Ki-67 index impact on endometrial cancer recurrence

# The impact of Ki-67 index, squamous differentiation and several clinicopathologic parameters on the recurrence of low and intermediate-risk endometrial cancer

Birol Ocak<sup>1\*</sup>, Fatma Öz Atalay<sup>2</sup>, Ahmet Bilgehan Sahin<sup>1</sup>, Mine Ozsen<sup>2</sup>, Bahar Dakiki<sup>3</sup>, Seray Türe<sup>3</sup>, Merve Mesohorli<sup>3</sup>, Hikmet Utku Odman<sup>3</sup>, Özgür Tanrıverdi<sup>4</sup>, Gökhan Ocakoğlu<sup>5</sup>, Mehmet Bayrak<sup>6</sup>, Hakan Ozan<sup>6</sup>, Candan Demiröz<sup>7</sup>, Seda Sali<sup>1</sup>, Sibel Oyucu Orhan<sup>1</sup>, Adem Deligönül<sup>1</sup>, Erdem Cubukçu<sup>1</sup>, Türkkan Evrensel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Surgical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Sitki Kocman University, Mugla, Turkey

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey <sup>6</sup>Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey <sup>7</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey

\*Corresponding author: Birol Ocak, Department of Medical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Bursa Uludag University, Nilufer 16059, Bursa, Turkey. Phone: +90 224 2951324; Fax: +90 224 2951345.

E-mail: birol08ocak@gmail.com

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17305/bjbms.2020.5437

Submitted: 23 December 2020/Accepted: 10 February 2021

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no conflict of interests

Licence: © The Author(s) (2021). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## ABSTRACT

Endometrial endometrioid carcinoma (EEC) represents approximately 75-80% of endometrial carcinoma cases. Three hundred thirty-six patients with EEC followed-up in the authors' medical center between and 2010-2018 were included in our study. Two hundred seventy-two low- and intermediate- EEC patients were identified using the European Society for Medical Oncology criteria and confirmed by histopathological examination. Recurrence was reported in 17 of these patients. The study group consisted of patients with relapse. A control group of 51 patients was formed at a ratio of 3:1 according to age, stage, and grade, similar to that in the study group. Of the 17 patients with recurrent disease, 13 patients (76.5%) were stage 1A, and 4 patients (23.5%) were stage 1B. No significant difference was found in age, stage, and grade between the case and control groups (p>0.05). Body mass index, parity, tumor size, lower uterine segment involvement, SqD, and Ki-67 index with p < 0.25 in the univariate logistic regression analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. Ki-67 was statistically significant in multivariate analysis (p=0.018); however, there was no statistical significance in SqD and other parameters. Our data suggest that the Ki-67 index rather than SqD needs to be assessed for recurrence in patients with low- and intermediate-risk EEC.

**KEYWORDS:** Low-intermediate risk; endometrioid endometrial carcinoma; recurrence; squamous differentiation; Ki-67

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the second most frequently encountered gynecological cancer worldwide, and endometrioid endometrial carcinoma (EEC) represents approximately 75– 80% of patients with EC [1-3]. EC is categorized as low, intermediate, and high risk according to the stage, tumor grade, and histological subtype [4]. According to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging for patients with EC, patients with stage IA-grade 1/2 EEC are classified as the low-risk group, and patients with stage 1A-grade 3 and stage 1B-grade 1/2 EEC are included in the intermediate-risk group [4].

Although many factors such as histological subtype, grade 3 tumor,  $\geq$ 50% myometrium invasion, lymphovascular invasion, lymph node metastasis, and tumor size greater than 2 cm are considered risk factors of recurrence, low- and intermediate-risk endometrial cancers have an excellent prognosis, with recurrence rates of about 5 to 6 percent without adjuvant therapy [5, 6].

The relevance of Ki-67 as a biomarker for endometrial cancer remains uncertain in the literature [7]. Ki-67 expression was reported to be positively correlated with tumor grade in patients with endometrioid endometrial carcinoma [8]. However, there is a lack of consensus regarding its prognostic value in endometrial cancer [9]. In the study of Jiang et al. [10], an increased risk of recurrence was found in stage 1-2 EC patients with a high Ki-67 index.

Squamous differentiation (SqD), which describes tumors from cell layers with intercellular bridges and distinct cell membranes with or without keratinization, has been reported in approximately 13–25% of patients with EC [11-13]. The prognostic value of SqD in patients with EC, first described by Ziano and Kurman in 1988 and used instead of definitions such as adenoacanthoma and adenosquamous carcinoma, is not yet clear in low-and intermediate-risk group EEC patients [11, 14, 15].

In this study, we aimed to investigate the prognostic effect of Ki-67 index and SqD on recurrence in low and intermediate-risk EEC patients, as well as the status of other prognostic factors.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study population and data collection**

For this retrospective study, the medical files of 366 patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of EEC between January 2010 and December 2018 in the Department of Medical Oncology of Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine were reviewed. Among these patients, 272 patients with low-intermediate risk EEC patients identified using the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) criteria were included in the study [4].

Only 17 of 272 patients with low and intermediate EEC included in the study had systemic or locoregional recurrence at any time during their follow-up, and these patients were named as the study group. Patients who did not develop any recurrence similar to the patients in the study group in terms of age, FIGO stage, and grade were classified as the control group by matching three to one ratio (Figure 1). Patients in both groups were compared in terms of demographic, clinical, and histopathological features, as well as SqD and Ki-67 levels.

Patients whose definitive surgical treatment was not performed in our institution, and those whose diagnosis of low and intermediate EEC could not be proven histopathologically, were excluded from the study. Surgical treatment of endometrial cancer in our institution is a total hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. Intraoperative frozen section analysis was routinely performed in all cases. Pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy is also performed for women whose frozen section analysis reveals a tumor type other than EEC, grade 3 histology, cervical stromal invasion, myometrial invasion greater than 50% depth, and tumor size greater than 2 cm [16]. Brachytherapy was applied to the patients with stage 1A/grade 1–2 EEC, in the presence of high-risk factors (lymphovascular space invasion and age  $\geq$ 60). Brachytherapy was applied to all patients to patients with stage 1A/grade 3 and stage 1B. The treatment dose was given to the vaginal 1/3 apex area, 5 mm deep from the vaginal surface, with a high dose-rate brachytherapy device using the Ir-192 source. The doses applied to the vaginal mucosa, rectum, and bladder were calculated according to International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. A total dose of 18–24 gray (Gy) was planned with a fraction dose of 6–7 Gy [16].

#### **Pathological assessment**

Tumor size, histological type of tumor, grade, myometrial invasion, lymphovascular invasion, and lower uterine segment involvement were obtained from the patients' pathology reports.

Squamous differentiation was proven by detecting at least three of the four criteria listed below, and SqD was expected to account for at least 10% of the tumor [12, 17]. The criteria sought for SqD are listed as follows: sheet-like growth without glands or palisading, sharp margins at a cell, thick and glassy eosinophilic cytoplasm, and nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio decreased more than foci elsewhere in the same tumor [12]. These criteria were used to detect SqD in re-evaluations of each sample, and squamous differentiation was classified into two groups as either absent or present (Figure 2A).

Two independent pathologists re-evaluated the Ki-67 proliferation index from immunohistochemically stained slides and SqD from hematoxylin-eosin stained slides. The slides of the cases were evaluated using a light microscope (model BX51TF, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The Ki-67 proliferation index was defined in the literature as the ratio of immunohistochemically stained nuclei to the total number of nuclei of tumor cells, independent of immunostaining intensity (weak, moderate, strong) (possible range: 0-100%) [18]. At least 1000 cells were counted at ×400 magnification from the hotspot areas of each sample in our study. Only nuclear staining was considered positive immunostaining, and the staining was scored according to the percentage of nuclear staining (Figure 2B). The Ki-67 assay clone used was 30-9.

#### **Ethical statement**

Our study was conducted in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration. The clinical research ethics committee of the Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine approved the study (Approval number: 2020-16/13).

## Statistical analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess whether the variables followed a normal distribution. Variables were reported as median (minimum–maximum) values. According to the normality test results, Mann Whitney's U test was used to compare the groups. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test, and 1:3 propensity score matching was performed to determine the control group (in terms of age, FIGO stage, and grade). Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed to determine independent risk factors. Any variable with p<0.25, according to the univariate logistic regression analysis, was accepted as a candidate for the multivariate model. The outcomes were compared using SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

The comparison of the patients in the study group and the control group in terms of study variables is shown in Table 1. No significant difference was found in age, stage, and grade between both groups (p>0.05). In addition, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of body mass index (p=0.079), the status of parity (p=0.160), presence of myometrium invasion (p=0.568), presence of lymphovascular space invasion (p=0.355), median tumor diameter (p=0.081), and lower uterine segment involvement (p=0.095). There was also no statistically significant difference in lymph node dissection (p=0.482) and adjuvant brachytherapy (p=0.468).

Squamous differentiation was observed in 7 patients (41.2%) in the study group and 11 (21.6%) patients in the control group. No significant difference was found in SqD between both groups (p=0.126). The median ratio of Ki-67 was 40% (min–max range: 15–80) in the study group and 30% (min–max range: 10–50) in the control group, and it was statistically significant (p=0.039).

Recurrences were reported 47.0% in vagina (8 patients), 23.5% in the lungs (4 patients), 5.9% in intraabdominal lymph node (1 patient), 5.9% in peritoneum (1 patient) 5.9% in colon (1 patient), 5.9% in bone (1 patient), 5.9% in bladder (1 patient). After univariate logistic regression analysis, six parameters with p<0.25 were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis: BM I, parity, tumor size, lower uterine segment involvement, squamous differentiation, and Ki-67 (Table 1). Upon multivariate analysis, only Ki-67 was significantly associated with recurrence (p = 0.018) (Table 2). The Ki-67 index had a 1.05-fold increased risk of recurrence in the low-intermediate risk EEC study group than in the control group (Table 2).

#### DISCUSSION

In our retrospective case-control study, we found that SqD did not significantly affect recurrence in our patients with low and intermediate EEC. In contrast, the Ki-67 proliferation index had a significant effect on recurrence. No statistical significance was found for other parameters such as body mass index, parity, tumor size, lower uterine segment involvement.

The importance of SqD on recurrence in EC patients has been investigated by a limited number of studies in the current literature (Table 3) [13-15, 19-22]. However, there have been different results regarding the effect of SqD on recurrence in both studies involving stage 1 EC or stage 1 EEC patients as well as patients with stage 1–4 EC and or stage 1–4 EEC and other studies with low- and intermediate-risk EEC patients (Table 3)[13-15, 19-22]. Although these studies contribute to the literature on the effect of SqD on recurrence, there is only one reported study to our knowledge, by De Andrade et al., investigating low-intermediate EEC patients [22].

In our study, we aimed to conduct a case-control study to investigate the effect of SqD on recurrence in low-intermediate risk EEC patients. Unlike the study by De Andrade et al. [22], we formed the control group considering not only age and FIGO stage but also the grade. Additional risk factors such as myometrium invasion, lower uterine segment involvement, and Ki-67 index were included.

The effect of SqD on recurrence in patients with EC remains controversial. In the study of Misirlioğlu et al. [14], statistical significance was found in univariate analysis in patients with EEC with SqD in the subgroup analysis of patients with EC. However, multivariate analysis was not performed in their study [14]. Although SqD was statistically significant in patients with pulmonary metastasis in the study by Jiang et al. [21] of patients with stage 1 EEC, disease recurrence was not discussed in the study. By supporting our findings, the effect of SqD on recurrence in EC patients was not shown in the studies of Zaino et al. [15] and Sturgeon et al. [19].

Ki-67 protein is present during all active stages of the cell cycle (G1, S, G2, M), excluding the resting cells (G0) [23]. It is used as a marker of cellular proliferation; its prognostic and predictive value was shown in several cancer types, including EC [24, 25]. The effect of the Ki-67 index on recurrence, especially in low-intermediate EEC, has not been sufficiently investigated in the current studies. The Ki-67 index was not included in the study of Andrade et al. [22] investigating low-intermediate EEC patients. In the study of Jiang et al. [21] in patients with stage 1 EEC, the effect of the Ki-67 index was not statistically significant on the development of pulmonary metastasis; however, the effect on disease recurrence was not reported. Yu et al. [26] examined patients with stage 1-4 EC and found that Ki-67 was associated with stage, differentiation, depth of myometrium invasion, and lymph node status. In the study of Lax et al. [20] investigating stage 1–4 EEC, the Ki-67 proliferation index was significantly higher in endometrioid carcinomas with a high-grade squamous component than the other tumors with various types of cellular differentiation and pure low-grade EEC. Therefore, the effect of SqD should be evaluated concurrently with Ki-67 in multivariate analysis. Some previous studies reported that a high Ki-67 index correlated with a high percentage of p53 stained immunohistochemically [27, 28]. However, as we emphasized before, the results regarding the prognostic value of the Ki-67 index in low and intermediate-risk endometrial carcinoma are not precise. Besides, there is literature information that p53 mutation is associated with cell differentiation in many cancers [29-31]. These studies revealed that cells without p53 mutation or carrying p53 activation tended to be well-differentiated. Since our study is retrospective and the p53 was not evaluated in all patients, it may be considered to conduct new molecular-based studies to elucidate the relationship of SqD with the increased Ki-67 index according to the p53 mutation or activation.

## CONCLUSION

In the literature, studies investigating the effect of SqD on recurrence in low-intermediate risk EEC patients are limited to the best of knowledge. In low-intermediate risk EEC patients, SqD and Ki-67 index should be evaluated concurrently for recurrence. This study enabled us to compare the effect of SqD on recurrence with the literature. Determining factors affecting recurrence in low-intermediate risk EEC patients may lead to changes in follow-up and treatment algorithms.

## REFERENCES

[1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel R, Torre L, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries (vol 68, pg 394, 2018). CA-A CANCER JOURNAL FOR CLINICIANS. 2020;70(4):313-.

[2] Gultekin M, Kucukyildiz I, Karaca MZ, Dundar S, Boztas G, Turan SH et al. Trends of gynecological cancers in Turkey: toward Europe or Asia? International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer. 2017;27(7).

[3] Brooks RA, Fleming GF, Lastra RR, Lee NK, Moroney JW, Son CH et al. Current recommendations and recent progress in endometrial cancer. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2019;69(4):258-79.

[4] Colombo N, Preti E, Landoni F, Carinelli S, Colombo A, Marini C et al. Endometrial cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of oncology. 2013;24:vi33-vi8.

[5] Keys HM, Roberts JA, Brunetto VL, Zaino RJ, Spirtos NM, Bloss JD et al. A phase III trial of surgery with or without adjunctive external pelvic radiation therapy in intermediate risk endometrial adenocarcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecologic oncology. 2004;92(3):744-51.

[6] Creutzberg CL, van Putten WL, Koper PC, Lybeert ML, Jobsen JJ, Wárlám-Rodenhuis CC et al. Surgery and postoperative radiotherapy versus surgery alone for patients with stage-1 endometrial carcinoma: multicentre randomised trial. The Lancet. 2000;355(9213):1404-11.

[7] Kitson S, Sivalingam VN, Bolton J, McVey R, Nickkho-Amiry M, Powell ME et al. Ki-67 in endometrial cancer: scoring optimization and prognostic relevance for window studies. Modern Pathology. 2017;30(3):459-68.

[8] Stefansson I, Salvesen H, Immervoll H, Akslen L. Prognostic impact of histological grade and vascular invasion compared with tumour cell proliferation in endometrial carcinoma of endometrioid type. Histopathology. 2004;44(5):472-9.

[9] Fanning J, Brown S, Phibbs G, Kramer T, Zaher A. Immunohistochemical evaluation is not prognostic for recurrence in fully staged high-risk endometrial cancer. International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer. 2002;12(3).

[10] Jiang P, Jia M, Hu J, Huang Z, Deng Y, Lai L et al. Prognostic Value of Ki67 in Patients with Stage 1–2 Endometrial Cancer: Validation of the Cut-off Value of Ki67 as a Predictive Factor. OncoTargets and therapy. 2020;13:10841.

[11] Zaino R, Kurman RJ, editors. Squamous differentiation in carcinoma of the endometrium: a critical appraisal of adenoacanthoma and adenosquamous carcinoma. Seminars in diagnostic pathology; 1988.

[12] Kurman R, Carcangiu M, Herrington C, Young R. WHO Classification of Tumours of Female Reproductive Organs Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer. World Health Organization. 2014:135-47.

[13] Abeler VM, Kjørstad KE. Endometrial adenocarcinoma with squamdus cell differentiation. Cancer. 1992;69(2):488-95.

[14] Misirlioglu S, Guzel A, Gulec U, Gumurdulu D, Vardar M. Prognostic factors determining recurrence in early-stage endometrial cancer. European journal of gynaecological oncology. 2012;33(6):610-4.

[15] Zaino R, Kurman R, Herbold D, Gliedman J, Bundy B, Voet R et al. The significance of squamous differentiation in endometrial carcinoma. Data from a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Cancer. 1991;68(10):2293-302.

[16] Uterine Neoplasms, Version 1.2021, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology.[17] Ellenson LH, Ronnett BM, Soslow RA, Zaino RJ, Kurman RJ. Endometrial carcinoma. Blaustein's pathology of the female genital tract. 2011.

[18] Aman NA, Doukoure B, Koffi KD, Koui BS, Traore ZC, Kouyate M et al. Immunohistochemical evaluation of Ki-67 and comparison with clinicopathologic factors in breast carcinomas. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP. 2019;20(1):73. [19] Sturgeon SR, Sherman ME, Kurman RJ, Berman ML, Mortel R, Twiggs LB et al. Analysis of histopathological features of endometrioid uterine carcinomas and epidemiologic risk factors. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers. 1998;7(3):231-5.

[20] Lax SF, Pizer ES, Ronnett BM, Kurman RJ. Comparison of estrogen and progesterone receptor, Ki-67, and p53 immunoreactivity in uterine endometrioid carcinoma and endometrioid carcinoma with squamous, mucinous, secretory, and ciliated cell differentiation. Human pathology. 1998;29(9):924-31.

[21] Jiang W, Chen J, Tao X, Huang F, Zhu M, Wang C et al. Possible risk factors of pulmonary metastases in patients with international federation of gynecology and obstetrics stage I endometrioid-type endometrial cancer. International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer. 2017;27(6).

[22] Andrade DAPd, Da Silva VD, Matsushita GdM, De Lima MA, Vieira MdA, Andrade CEMC et al. Squamous differentiation portends poor prognosis in low and intermediaterisk endometrioid endometrial cancer. PloS one. 2019;14(10):e0220086.

[23] Scholzen T, Gerdes J. The Ki-67 protein: from the known and the unknown. Journal of cellular physiology. 2000;182(3):311-22.

[24] Breast Cancer, Version 3.2020, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology.

[25] Neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors, Version 2.2018, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology.

[26] Yu C-G, Jiang X-Y, Li B, Gan L, Huang J-F. Expression of ER, PR, C-erbB-2 and Ki-67 in endometrial carcinoma and their relationships with the clinicopathological features.Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2015;16(15):6789-94.

[27] Stănescu AD, Nistor I, Potecă AG, Dițescu D, Comănescu M. Prognostic biomarkers in endometrial adenocarcinoma. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2014;55(4):1339-44.

[28] Budak E, Kahraman DS, Budak A, Yanarateş A, Inan AH, Kanmaz AG et al. The prognostic significance of serum CA125 levels with ER, PR, P53 and Ki-67 expression in endometrial carcinomas. Ginekologia polska. 2019;90(12):675-83.

[29] Radinsky R, Fidler IJ, Price JE, Esumi N, Tsan R, Petty CM et al. Terminal differentiation and apoptosis in experimental lung metastases of human osteogenic sarcoma cells by wild type p53. Oncogene. 1994;9(7):1877-83.

[30] Lang D, Miknyoczki SJ, Huang L, Ruggeri BA. Stable reintroduction of wild-type P53 (MTmp53ts) causes the induction of apoptosis and neuroendocrine-like differentiation in human ductal pancreatic carcinoma cells. Oncogene. 1998;16(12):1593-602.

[31] Spike BT, Wahl GM. p53, stem cells, and reprogramming: tumor suppression beyond guarding the genome. Genes & cancer. 2011;2(4):404-19.

# **TABLES AND FIGURES**

**TABLE 1.** Univariate analysis of predictive recurrence for low and intermediate-risk

endometrioid endometrial cancer

	-	Study	Control Group	р
		Group	(n=51)	
		(n=17)		
Age <sup>a</sup>	<65	13 (76.5%)	39 (76.5%)	>0.99
Stage FIGO <sup>a</sup>	Stage 1A	13 (76.5%)	39 (76.5%)	>0.99
Grade <sup>a</sup>	Grade 1	8 (47%)	24 (47%)	>0.99
BMI <sup>b</sup>	(Median)	35.4	31.6	0.079
Parity <sup>a</sup>	Nulliparite	3 (17.6%)	3 (5.8%)	0.160
Myometrium invasion <sup>a</sup>	Absent	0	3 (5.9%)	0.568
Tumor size <sup>b</sup>	(Median)	3.8	3.0	0.081
LVSI <sup>a</sup>	Absent	14 (82.4%)	47 (92.2%)	0.355
Lower uterine segment	Absent	10 (58.8%)	42 (82.4%)	0.095
Squamous	Absent	10 (58.8%)	40 (78.4%)	0.126
Ki-67 <sup>b</sup>	(Median)	40	30	0.039
Lymph node dissection <sup>c</sup>	Absent	9 (52.9%)	22 (43.1%)	0.482
Brachytherapy <sup>c</sup>	Absent	5 (29.4%)	20 (39.2%)	0.468

**BMI**: body mass index; **FIGO**: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics;

LVSI: lymphovascular space invasion. a— Fisher's exact test; b—Mann-Whitney test; c—

Chi-square test

**TABLE 2.** Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of predictive recurrence for low and intermediate-risk endometricid endometricil

## cancer

	Univariate	Analysis		Multivariate Analysis				
	OR	P-value	95% CI		OR	P-value	95% CI	
			Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper
Age	1.00	>0.99	0.27	3.67				
Stage FIGO	1.00	>0.99	0.27	3.64				
Grade	1.00	>0.99	0.33	3.00				
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.04	0.214	0.97	1,12	1.03	0.420	0.94	1.13
Parity	0.29	0.157	0.05	1.60	0.31	0.287	0.03	2.61
Tumor size (cm)	1.35	0.063	0.98	1.86	1.15	0.451	0.79	1.69
LVSI	2.51	0.261	0.50	12.6				
Lower uterine segment involvement	3.26	0.054	0.97	10.89	1.18	0.829	0.25	5.65
Squamous differentiation	2.54	0.119	0.78	8,23	1.93	0.350	0.48	7.77
Lymph node dissection	0.67	0.483	0.22	2.03				
Brachytherapy	1.54	0.470	0.47	5.06				
Ki-67(%)	1.05	0.006	1.01	1.10	1.05	0.018	1.009	1.103

**OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; BMI**: body mass index; **LVSI:** lymphovascular space invasion; **FIGO**: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Multivariate logistic regression model is significant =0.027

Referenc	Yea	Count	Patient	Ν	Study	Analysis	Event	Risk of	Other risk factors
Present	202	Turkey	Low-	68	Case-control	Multivariat	Recurrence	No	Ki-67
Study	0		intermediate			e			
Andrade	201	Brazil	Low-	84	Case-control	Multivariat	Recurrence	Yes	-
et al. (22)	9		intermediate			e			
Jiang et	201	China	Stage I EEC	630	Retrospectiv	Univariate	Pulmonary	Yes	Tumor size, myometrial
al. (21)	7				e cohort		Metastases		invasion
Misirliog	201	Turkey	Stage I EC	223	Case-control	Univariate	Recurrence	Yes	Age, grade, lower uterine
lu et al.	2								segment involvement and
Sturgeon	199	USA	Stage I–IV EC	648	Case-control	Univariate	Pathogenetic types	No	-
et al. (19)	8						of EC		
Lax et al.	199	USA	Stage I–IV	77	Case-control	Univariate	Overall survival	Variable	Mucinous, secretory, and
(20)	8		EEC						ciliated cell component

**TABLE 3**. Summary of squamous differentiation endometrioid endometrial cancer studies to predict recurrence

Abeler et	199	Norwa	Stage I–IV EC	255	Retrospectiv	Multivariat	Overall survival	Variable	Myometrial infiltration,
al. (13)	2	У			e cohort	e			vascular invasion, cervical
Zaino et	199	USA	Stage I–II EC	631	Prospective	Univariate	Pelvic/Paraaortic	No	Histologic Grade
al. (15)	1				cohort		lymph node		

**EEC:** Endometrioid endometrial cancer, **EC:** Endometrial cancer



FIGURE 1. Diagram of the study design. EEC: Endometrioid endometrial carcinoma.



**FIGURE 2.** A) Squamous differentiation, sheet-like growth without glands, sharp cellular margins and glassy eosinophilic cytoplasm (H&E,  $\times$ 10). B) Ki-67 proliferation index is 60%.