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#### Abstract

In this paper, it is an operational matrix method associating Chebyshev polynomials to solve linear Fredholm-Volterra integro-differential (FVDE) equations. Using Chebyshev finite series, the method reduces any problem to a system of algebraic equation including unknown Chebyshev coefficients. Comparisons with the exact solution and other numerical techniques are presented to show the efficiency of the proposed method. It is achieved by more Chebyshev terms for morebetter accuracies. Exact solutions are obtained when the solutions are themselves polynomials.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Integro-differential equations (IDEs) are useful mathematical tool for real-life problems. In particular, IDEs arise in fluid dynamics, biological models, chemical kinetics, industrial mathematics, control theory of financial mathematics, economics, electrostatics, fluid dynamics, heat and mass transfer, oscillation theory, queuing theory, and so forth [1-2]. These types of equations were introduced by Volterra for the first time. Volterra investigated the population growth on the topic of integro-differential equations [2]. Fredholm integrodifferential equations (FIDEs) arise from various applications such as engineering, biology, physics, economics and others [1].

The goal of this work is to present a numerical method for approximating the solution of FVID equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{k}(x) y^{(m)}(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{x} K(x, t) y(t) d t+\mu \int_{a}^{b} F(x, t) y(t) d t=f(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with mixed conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(a_{i k} y^{(k)}(a)+b_{i k} y^{(k)}(b)+c_{i k} y^{(k)}(c)\right)=\alpha_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, m-1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\lambda, \mu$ and $P_{k}(x), K(x, t)$ and $f(x)$ are known and belong to $L^{2}[0,1]$. $y(x)$ is the unknown function.

[^0]It is well known that it is extremely difficult to analytically solve these types equations. Many more numerical methods have been introduced to approximate the solution of FVIDEs:

In [3], a Taylor expansion method is introduced to solve a class of linear integrodifferential equations including those of Fredholm and Volterra types. By means of the $n$ thorder Taylor expansion of an unknown function at any point, a linear integro-differential equation can be converted approximately to a system of linear equations for the unknown function itself and its first $n$. derivatives under initial conditions.

In [4], by using stochastic computational intelligence technique, a class of nonlinear and linear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations has been solved with mixed conditions.

In [5-6], these studies give us a reliable numerical treatment based on the power series representation via ordinary polynomials for Fredholm integro differential equations and Fredholm-Volterra-Hammerstein integro differeential equations.

In [7-8], the Chebyshev collocation method transforms FVIDE and the conditions into the matrix equations which correspond to a system of linear algebraic equations including unknown Chebyshev coefficients.

In total, in the recent years, numerous works have been focusing on the development of more advanced and efficient methods for NVID equations [9-19].

In this paper, we seek the approximate solution of Eq.(1) with mixed conditions Eq.(2) as the truncated shifted Chebyshev series defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{N}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} a_{r} T_{L, r}^{*}(x), x \in[0, L] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is any positive integer and $T_{L, r}^{*}(x), r=0,1, \ldots, N$ denote the shifted Chebyshev polynomials.

Chebyshev polynomials are the most famous bases of polynomials space, because Chebyshev polynomials (firstkind) is the Fourier cosine series. These polynomials have reliable advantage such as easy to compute, rapid convergence and completeness [20]. From this reasons, we use the first kind shifted Chebyshev polynomials in approximation.
The organization of the rest of this paper is as follows: Section 2 is devoted to the basic formulation of Chebyshev polynomials required for our subsequent development. Section 3 is given representation of the matrix form of differential, Fredholm and Volterra part. In Section 4, we give the numerical method by using operational matrix method. We apply these polynomials, as a basis on $[0,1]$, to solve Eq. (1). The method is reduce Eq.(1) to a set of algebraic equations by expanding the unknown function as shifted Chebyshev polynomials series with unknown coefficients. In Section 5, the proposed method is applied to several numerical examples and a comparison is made with existing methods in the literature. Section 6 concludes the paper. Note that we have computed the numerical results by Maple programming and have plotted the figures by Matlap.

This article is motivated by the desire to obtain numerical solutions to linear Volterra integro-differential equations via Chebyshev operational matrix method. Operational matrix method was presented for solving differential equations, singular differential equations such as Lane-Emden equation, fractional Bagley-Torvik equation, hyperbolic heat conduction, two-dimensional integral equations of fractional order, distributed order fractional differential equations [21-29].

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL

In this section, we give the definition of Chebyshev polynomials and some features [30-32]. The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_{n}(x)$ is a polynomials in $x$ of degree $n$, defined by relation

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos n \theta, \text { when } x=\cos \theta
$$

If the range of the variable $x$ is the interval $[-1,1]$, the range the corresponding variables $\theta$ can be taken $[0, \pi]$. We map the independent variable $t$ in $[0,1]$ to the variable $s$ in $[-1,1]$ by transformation

$$
s=2 x-1 \text { or } x=\frac{1}{2}(s+1)
$$

and this lead to the shifted Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ of degree $n$ in $x$ on [0,1] given by

$$
T_{n}^{*}(x)=T_{n}(s)=T_{n}(2 x-1) .
$$

These polynomials have the following properties [32]:
i) $T^{*}{ }_{n+1}(x)$ has exactly $n+1$ real zeroes on the interval [0,1]. The $i$-th zero $x_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\cos \left(\frac{(2(n-i)+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right)\right), i=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) It is well known that the relation between the powers $x^{n}$ and the shifted Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}=2^{-2 n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n},\binom{2 n}{k} T_{n-k}^{*}(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum^{\prime}$ denotes a sum whose first term is halved.
Any function, $y(x) \in L^{2}[0,1]$, can be approximated as a sum of shifted Chebyshev polynomials as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\left\langle y(x), T_{n}^{*}(x)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} y(x) T_{n}^{*}(x) d x, n=0,1, \ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we give the matrix relations the each part of Eq.(1) by using Eqs.(3) and (5).

Firstly, we give the matrix forms of each term in the Eq.(1). Let us consider the approximate solution of Eq.(1) expressed in the Chebyshev series form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{N}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} T_{n}^{*}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}, n=0,1,2, \ldots, N$ are unknown shifted Chebyshev coefficients and $N$ is chosen any positive integer and degree $y_{N}(x)$ is $N$. Then, we put series (8) in the matrix form the approximate solution and its derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{N}(x)=\mathbf{T}^{*}(x) \mathbf{A}, y_{N}^{(k)}(x)=\mathbf{T}^{*(k)}(x) \mathbf{A}, k=0, \ldots, m \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{T}^{*}(x)=\left[T_{0}^{*}(x) T_{1}^{*}(x) \ldots T_{N}^{*}(x)\right] \mathbf{A}=\left[a_{0} a_{1} \ldots a_{N}\right]^{T}
$$

By using the expression (5) and taking $n=0,1, \ldots, N$ we find the corresponding matrix relation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{X}(x))^{T}=\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(x)\right)^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{X}(x)=\mathbf{T}^{*}(x) \mathbf{D}^{T} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{X}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & x & \ldots & x^{N}
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2^{0}\binom{0}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
2^{-2}\binom{2}{1} & 2^{-1}\binom{2}{0} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
2^{-4}\binom{4}{2} & 2^{-3}\binom{4}{1} & 2^{-3}\binom{4}{0} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
2^{-6}\binom{6}{3} & 2^{-5}\binom{6}{2} & 2^{-5}\binom{6}{1} & 2^{-5}\binom{6}{0} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
2^{-2 N}\binom{2 N}{N} & 2^{-2 N+1}\binom{2 N}{N-1} & 2^{-2 N+1}\binom{2 N}{N-2} & 2^{-2 n+1}\binom{2 N}{N-3} & \ldots & 2^{-2 N+1}\binom{2 N}{0}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, by taking into account (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}(x)=\mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}\right)^{T} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(x)\right)^{(k)}=\mathbf{X}^{(k)}(x)\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}\right)^{T}, \quad k=0, \ldots, m \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clearly seen that the relation between the matrix $\mathbf{X}(x)$ and its derivatives is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{X}^{(1)}(x)=\mathbf{X}(x) \mathbf{B}^{T}  \tag{13}\\
\mathbf{X}^{(2)}(x)=\mathbf{X}^{(1)}(x) \mathbf{B}^{T}=\mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{B}^{T}\right)^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}^{(k)}(x)=\mathbf{X}^{(k)}(x) \mathbf{B}^{T}=\mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{B}^{T}\right)^{k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & N & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Consequently, by substituting the matrix forms (13) and (15) into (9) we have the matrix relation of the approximate solution and its derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{N}^{(k)}(x)=\mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)^{k}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}, k=0, . ., m . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1. MATRIX REPRESENTATION OF VOLTERRA PART

Let assume that $K(x, t)$ can be expanded to univariate Chebyshev series with respect to $t$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} k_{r}(x) T_{r}^{*}(t) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the matrix representations of the kernel function $K(x, t)$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, t)=\mathbf{K}(x) \mathbf{T}^{T}(t) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{K}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
k_{0}(x) & k_{1}(x) & k_{2}(x) & \cdots & k_{N}(x)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Substitutig the relations (9) and (17) in integral part, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{K}(x) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} d t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4. MATRIX REPRESENTATION OF FREDHOLM PART

Let assume that $F(x, t)$ can be expanded to univariate Chebyshev series with respect to $t$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} f_{r}(x) T_{r}^{*}(t) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the matrix representations of the kernel function $F(x, t)$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t)=\mathbf{F}(x) \mathbf{T}^{T}(t) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{F}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
f_{0}(x) & f_{1}(x) & f_{2}(x) & \cdots & f_{N}(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Substitutig the relations (9) and (20) in Fredholm integral part, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{F}(x) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} d t \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. SOLUTION METHOD

Substituting the matrix relations of differential, Volterra and Fredholm integral part and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \approx \mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

into Eq.(1) and then simplifying, we obtain the fundamental matrix equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathbf{P}_{k}(x) \mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)^{k}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{K}(x) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} d t  \tag{23}\\
& +\mu \int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{F}(x) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} d t \approx \mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the residual $R_{N}(x)$ for Eq.(23) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{N}(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathbf{P}_{k}(x) \mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)^{k}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{K}(x) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} d t  \tag{24}\\
& +\mu \int_{a}^{b} F(x) \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A} d t-\mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{X}(x)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying typical Tau method in [21-26], Eq.(1) can be converted in ( $N-m$ ) linear equations by applying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{N}(x), T_{n}^{*}(x)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} R_{N}(x) T_{n}^{*}(x) d x=0, n=0,1, \ldots, N-m \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ initial conditions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(a_{i k} \mathbf{X}(a)\left(\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)^{k}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1}+b_{i k} \mathbf{X}(b)\left(\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)^{k}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1}+c_{i k} \mathbf{X}(c)\left(\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)^{k}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1}\right) \mathbf{A}=\alpha_{i} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (25) and (26) give us $N+1$ sets of linear equations. Solving $N+1$ equations by using Maple 13 for unknown coefficients of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and approximate solution $y_{N}(x)$ can be calculated.

### 2.3. ERROR ESTIMATION AND CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Assume that $H=L^{2}[0,1]$, where $P_{N}=\left\{T_{0}^{*}(x), T_{1}^{*}(x), \ldots, T_{N}^{*}(x)\right\} \subset H$ be the set of polynomials of $n-$ th degree and $W=\operatorname{Span}\left(P_{N}\right)$. Clearly, $W$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Let $f \in H$, then $f$ has the unique best approximation out of $W$ such that $g_{0} \in W$, that is [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-g_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq\|f-g\|_{2}, \quad \forall g \in W \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{2}^{2}=<f, f>$. There exist unique coefficients $\mathbf{A}=\left[a_{0} a_{1} \ldots a_{N}\right]$ for $g_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \approx g_{0}=\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{k} T_{k}^{*}(x)=\mathbf{T}(x) \mathbf{A} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}(x)=\left[T_{0}^{*}(x), T_{1}^{*}(x), \ldots, T_{N}^{*}(x)\right]$ and coefficient matrix $\mathbf{A}$ can be get by the following equation

$$
\mathbf{A}<\mathbf{T}(x), \mathbf{T}(x)>=<f, \mathbf{T}(x)>
$$

where

$$
<f, \mathbf{T}(x)>=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathbf{T}(x)^{T} d x=\left[<f, T_{0}^{*}(x)><f, T_{1}^{*}(x)>\ldots<f, T_{N}^{*}(x)>\right]
$$

and $<\mathbf{T}(x), \mathbf{T}(x)\rangle$ is an $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix and

$$
\varphi=\left\langle\mathbf{T}(x), \mathbf{T}(x)>=\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{T}(x) \mathbf{T}(x)^{T} d x\right.
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathbf{T}(x)^{T} d x\right) \varphi^{-1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. [25] Let assume that $H$ is an Hilbert space, $W$ is a closed subspace of $H$ such that $\operatorname{dim} W$ is finite and $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{N}\right\}$ is an y basis for $W$. Let $f$ be an arbitrary element in $H$ and $g_{0}$ be the unique best approximation to $f$ out of $W$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{D\left(f, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)}{D\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D\left(f, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
<f, f> & <f, y_{1}> & \cdots & <f, y_{N}> \\
<y_{1}, f> & <y_{1}, y_{1}> & \cdots & <y_{1}, y_{N}> \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
<y_{N}, f> & <y_{N}, y_{1}> & \cdots & \left.<y_{N}, y_{N}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right|
$$

It is defined the inner product in $H$ by $\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x)$ and the subspace $W=\operatorname{Span}\left(P_{N}\right)$, so the presented absolute error in Theorem 1 can be written [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-g_{0}\right\|=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(x) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(x)^{T} d x\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(x) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(x)^{T} d x\right)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(x)^{T}=\left[T_{0}^{*}(x) T_{1}^{*}(x) \ldots T_{N}^{*}(x)\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(x)^{T}=\left[f T_{0}^{*}(x) T_{1}^{*}(x) \ldots T_{N}^{*}(x)\right]$.
Theorem 2. Assume that the function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ is $(N+1)$ times continuously differentiable, $g \in C^{N+1}[0,1]$ and $W=\operatorname{Span}\left\{T_{0}^{*}(x), T_{1}^{*}(x), \ldots, T_{N}^{*}(x)\right\}$. If $\mathbf{A \Phi}$ is the best approximation to $g$ out of $W$, then a bound for absolute error is presented by

$$
\|g-\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2^{2 N}((N+1)!)^{2}}
$$

where $M=\max _{x \in[0,1]}\left(g(x)^{(N+1)}\right)$.

Proof: We consider the interpolation polynomial. $g^{*}(x)$ is the interpolating polynomial to $g$ at $x_{i}$, where $x_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$ are the Cbeyshev-Gauss grid points, then we have [31-32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)-g^{*}(x)=\frac{g^{(N+1)}(\lambda)}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{N}\left(x-x_{i}\right), \lambda \in[0,1] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $\left\|T_{N}^{*}(x)\right\|_{\infty}=1$, we conclude that if we choose the grid nodes $\left(x_{i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq N}$ to be zero the $(\mathrm{N}+1)$ zeroes of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{N}^{*}(x)$, we have[25-26]

$$
\left\|\prod_{i=0}^{N}\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{2^{N}},
$$

this is the smallest possible value. From (32), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-g^{*}(x)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2^{N}(N+1)!}\left\|g(x)^{(N+1)}\right\|_{\infty} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathbf{A \Phi}$ is the best approximation to $g$ out of $W$, considering $g^{*} \in W$ and using (33), we have

$$
\|g-\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\| \leq\left\|g-g^{*}\right\|=\int_{0}^{1}\left|g(x)-g^{*}(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{M}{2^{N}(N+1)!}\right)^{2} d x \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2^{2 N}((N+1)!)^{2}}
$$

Another comparison can be given for quality of the approximation by well known algorithm [20]. Since the approximate solution $y_{N}(x)$ is the approximate solution of Eq.(1), Eq.(1) must be approximately satisfied by the function $y_{N}(x)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{k}(x) y^{(m)}(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{x} K(x, t) y(t) d t+\mu \int_{a}^{b} F(x, t) y(t) d t-f(x)\right| \approx 0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This comparison should be advise strongly by [26]. Then the error can be estimated by the error function [20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=\sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{k}(x) y^{(m)}(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{x} K(x, t) y(t) d t+\mu \int_{a}^{b} F(x, t) y(t) d t-f(x) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. RESULTS

In this section, we apply our method for numerical results of Eq. (1). The numerical examples show the efficiency of our technique. We also compare our method with other methods. In all examples, we report absolute error which is defined as

$$
N_{e}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left|y\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{N}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|, x_{i} \in[0,1]
$$

Example 1. Let us consider the following nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x)-\int_{0}^{1} x t y(t) d t-\int_{0}^{x} y(t) d t=1-x-e^{x}
$$

subject to conditions $y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=1, y^{\prime \prime}(0)-2 y^{\prime}(0)=-1$. Exact solution is $y(x)=e^{x}$. Applying given Chebyshev operational matrix method, the aproximate solution is obtained as for $N=6$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{6}(x)=1+x+0.500027436 x^{2}+0.16639945 x^{3}+0.04256732 x^{4} \\
& +0.00696554 x^{5}+0.002321858 x^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obtained numerical values are reported in Table $1\left(E-n=10^{-n}\right)$. Moreover, in Fig.1, we compare the absolute errors for some values of $N$. These results are nearly equal to real values that show the high accuracy of the method. We plotted numerical results about error estimation functions for $N=8,10$ in Fig. 2.

Table 1. Error values of Ex. 1 for the x value.

| x | Exact Solution | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=6$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=8$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.1 | 1.105170918 | $0.921422 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.12036 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.452469 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 0.2 | 1.221402758 | $0.398763 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.12651 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.104876 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 0.3 | 1.349858807 | $0.617221 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.22911 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.230603 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 0.4 | 1.491824697 | $0.486593 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.36790 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.184932 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 0.5 | 1.648721270 | $0.497938 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.93524 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.414920 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 0.6 | 1.822118800 | $0.401797 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.36493 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.186584 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 0.7 | 2.013752707 | $0.559882 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.24430 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.173301 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 0.8 | 2.225540928 | $0.349664 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.12191 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.108607 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 0.9 | 2.459603111 | $0.235218 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.12523 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.457641 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 1.0 | 2.718281828 | $0.815871 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.72 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.50 \mathrm{E}-17$ |



Figure 1. Absolute errors of the Ex. 1 for $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{6 , 8 , 1 0}$. Figure 2. Comparison of error estimation functions for $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{8 , 1 0}$.

Example 2. Consider the following Fredholm-Volterra-integro differential equations with the exact solution $y(x)=x e^{x}$ [33]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=2 e^{x}-2+\int_{0}^{x} y(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} y(t) d t, y(0)=0
$$

Table 2 and Figs. 3-4 show that numerical results and error respectively with the exact solution for various $N$.

Table 2. Error values of Ex. 2 for the $x$ value.

| x | Exact Solution | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=5$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=6$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.00000000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.1 | 0.11051709 | $0.2740 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.1181 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.1116 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.2 | 0.24428055 | $0.9090 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.2951 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.6253 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 0.3 | 0.40495764 | $0.6813 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.2230 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.9851 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.4 | 0.59672987 | $0.4624 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.4087 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.4208 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 0.5 | 0.82436063 | $0.1114 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.3973 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.3975 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 0.6 | 1.09327128 | $0.5490 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.4569 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.6160 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.7 | 1.40962689 | $0.6402 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.1873 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.2581 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 0.8 | 1.78043274 | $0.9774 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.3914 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.3373 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 0.9 | 2.21364280 | $0.2513 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.1121 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.7170 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 1.0 | 2.71828182 | $0.3740 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |



Figure 3. Absolute errors of the Ex. 2.


Figure 4. Comparison of error estimation functions of Ex.2.

Example 3. Let us consider the following Volterra-integro differential equations with the initial condition $y(0)=0$ [7]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=1-4 \int_{0}^{x}(x-t) y^{\prime}(t) d t, y(0)=0
$$

The exact solution of this problem is $y(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sin (2 x)$. In Table 3, it has been given the comparison of absolute errors between the present method and Walsh function method (WFM), Chebyshev polynomial approach (CPA) for $N=5, N=10$ at $x=\frac{i}{16}$. Given method absolutely arise that numerical results are better than other methods.

Example 4. Let us consider the following equation to compare present method and Galerkin method [6]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)-y(x)-\frac{1}{\ln ^{2} 2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{t+1} y(t) d t=\frac{1}{x+1}-\frac{x}{2}-\ln (1+x), y(0)=0
$$

In [6], Türkyılmazoğlu applied the Galerkin method with power series for this problem and obtained the following approximate solution:
$y_{10}(x)=0.9999995 x-0.4999834 x^{2}+0.333105 x^{3}-0.248280 x^{4}+0.1920182 x^{5}-0.1421647 x^{6}$
$+0.09053189 x^{7}-.04361917 x^{8}+0.01349909 x^{9}-0.001959235 x^{10}$
After the present method is applied, it is obtained the approximate solution:
$y_{10}(x)=0.14 E-14+0.99999999 x-0.499999378 x^{2}+0.333215559 x^{3}-0.2489071812 x^{4}+$ $0.19412019 x^{5}-0.14653433 x^{6}+0.096228429 x^{7}-0.048151052 x^{8}+0.0155102920 x^{9}$ $-0.0023408599 x^{10}$

These numerical results are displayed in Fig.5. By aid of Fig.5, it is said that present method is better accurate than Galerkin method.

Table 3. Numerical comparisons for Ex.3.

|  | CPA |  | Present method |  | WFM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $N=5$ | $N=10$ | $N=5$ | $N=10$ | $n=5, m=16$ |
| 1 | 0.0024 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.15461 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.6969 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0026 |
| 2 | 0.0012 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.22812 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.4603 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0026 |
| 3 | $5.48 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.19880 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.5823 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0026 |
| 4 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.23734 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.6956 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0026 |
| 5 | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.53 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.13389 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.6887 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0025 |
| 6 | $8.67 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.43637 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.5079 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0025 |
| 7 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $5.48 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.20472 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.9105 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0024 |
| 8 | $7.71 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $6.51 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.27507 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.6150 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 0.0024 |
| 9 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.22241 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.9265 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0023 |
| 10 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $5.12 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.67754 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.3895 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0022 |
| 11 | $8.99 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.11936 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.7250 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0021 |
| 12 | $4.49 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $7.04 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.24272 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.5858 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0020 |
| 13 | $6.73 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.21938 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.5946 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0019 |
| 14 | $4.88 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.38197 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.3637 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0018 |
| 15 | $7.19 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.16269 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.6175 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.0016 |
| 16 | $8.77 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.65378 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.3000 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.0014 |



Figure 5. Numerical results of Ex.4.

## Example 5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)-x y^{\prime}(x)+y(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} \cos (\pi x) y(t) d t-\pi \int_{0}^{1} x t y(t) d t+f(x) \\
y(0) & =1, y^{\prime}(0)+y(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the above Fredholm-Volterra integro differential equation. The exact solution of this problem $y(x)=\sin x-\cos x$, if we take

$$
f(x)=-x(-\sin x-\cos x)+\cos (\pi x)(1-\sin x-\cos x)-\pi(-x+2 x \cos (1))
$$

This problem has been solved by above numerical algorithm. Obtained numerical results are tabulated in Table 4. Absolute errors and error estimation functions are plotted in Figs. 6-7.

Table 4. Error values of Ex. 5 for the $x$ value.

| x | Exact Solution | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=6$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=7$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 1 | $0.000 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $0.000 \mathrm{E}-0$ |
| 0.1 | 0.895170748631 | $0.66405 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.46869 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.16636 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.2 | 0.781397247046 | $0.13358 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.66348 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.76603 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.3 | 0.659816282642 | $0.10557 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.85983 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.14521 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.4 | 0.531642651694 | $0.11983 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.45173 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.103704 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| 0.5 | 0.398157023286 | $0.62541 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.11953 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.30820 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 0.6 | 0.260693141514 | $0.11279 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.30832 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.102334 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| 0.7 | 0.120624500046 | $0.10965 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.83440 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.19089 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.8 | -0.020649381552 | $0.31149 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.51422 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.74772 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.9 | -0.161716941356 | $0.59101 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.40443 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.14966 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 1.0 | -0.301168678939 | $0.40178 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.778 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.13300 \mathrm{E}-12$ |



Figure 6. Absolute errors of the Ex. 5.


Figure 7. Comparison of error estimation functions of Ex.5.

Example 6. Let us consider the Fredholm integro differential equation [21]

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(x)+50 x y(x)-35 y(x)=\frac{1-e^{x+1}}{x+1}+\left(x^{2}+50 x-35\right) e^{x}+\int_{0}^{1} e^{x t} y(t) d t \\
y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(1)=e
\end{gathered}
$$

Exact solution of this problem is $y(x)=e^{x}$. The comparison of present method, Galerkin, Galerkin wavelet collocation method [20] is listed in Table 5 for $N=6,7$. Present method are more reliable than other methods from Table 5.

Table 5. Numerical comparisons for Ex.6.

| Present Method |  |  |  | $N=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $0.329 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.256 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Wavelet collocation[20] | Wavelet Galerkin[20] |
| 0.125 | $0.109 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.132 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.26 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $0.27 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 0.250 | $0.792 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.156 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.93 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.30 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| 0.375 | $0.266 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.254 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.51 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.26 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 0.500 | $0.500 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.421 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.25 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.43 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 0.625 | $0.201 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.922 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.10 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.56 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 0.750 | $0.758 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.312 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.23 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.65 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 0.875 |  |  | $0.72 \mathrm{E}-5$ |  |

Example 7. Now, we take the following linear Volterra integro differential equation with exact solution $y(x)=e^{x}$ [34]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+x y^{\prime}(x)-x y(x)=e^{x}+\frac{1}{2} x \cos x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \cos x e^{-t} y(t) d t \\
y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

It has been given the comparison of the Present method and B-spline method [34] in Table 6. The given method is more accuracy than B-spline method for this problem.

Table 6. Numerical comparisons for Ex.7.

| $x$ | Present Method |  | B-spline method [30] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N=8$ | $N=10$ | $h=\frac{1}{10}$ | $h=\frac{1}{20}$ |
| 0.0 | $0.100 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $0.100 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $0.13433 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $0.149880 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| 0.1 | $0.56733 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.379099 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.702649 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.444522 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| 0.2 | $0.132170 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.194087 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.290539 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 0.183795E-9 |
| 0.3 | $0.48724 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.613900 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.675006 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $0.425583 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| 0.4 | $0.145901 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.239776 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.123414 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.776724 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| 0.5 | $0.86112 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.329522 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.197855 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.124375 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.6 | $0.96917 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.231641 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.291859 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.183312 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.7 | $0.80197 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.441480 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $0.406494 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.217577 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.8 | $0.53591 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.163410 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.542970 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.340644 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.9 | $0.11891 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.456680 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.702506 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.440610 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 1.0 | $0.6499 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $0.350 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $0.887102 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.556039 \mathrm{E}-8$ |

Example 8. Consider the following Fredholm integro differential equation [5]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{t}{|x-t|^{1 / 2}} y(t) d t=f(x), y(0)=0
$$

If $y(x)=x^{2}\left(1-x^{3}+x^{2}\right)$, which is the exact solution of this problem, the function $f$ can be calculated. For $N=5$, our method give us exact solution.

Example 9. Consider the Fredholm integro differential equation [5]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)-\int_{0}^{1}|x-t|^{-1 / 3} y(t) d t=f(x), y(0)=1
$$

Applying our method for $N=5,6$, we get the approximate solution $y(x)=x^{5}\left(1-x+x^{2}\right)-1$ which is the exact solution. Here $f$ can be calculated by Maple 13.

Example 10. Now, we give a nonlinear example in this problem. Let us consider the following nonlinear Volterra integro differential equation [6, 35]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)-\int_{0}^{1} y^{2}(t) d t=-1, y(0)=0
$$

Numerical values of proposed method, Galerkin method [6] and a direct method [35] are showned in Table 7.

Table 7. Error values of Ex. 10 for the x value.

| x | Exact Solution | Our method | Galerkin method [6] | a direct method[35] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.125 | -0.1249796568 | -0.1249796566 | -0.1249796566 | -0.12498 |
| 0.250 | -0.2496747212 | -0.2496747214 | -0.2496747209 | -0.24967 |
| 0.375 | -0.3733561800 | -0.3733561788 | -0.3733561797 | -0.37335 |
| 0.500 | -0.4948225080 | -0.4948225080 | -0.4948225077 | -0.49481 |
| 0.625 | -0.6124306816 | -0.6124306815 | -0.6124306814 | -0.61242 |
| 0.750 | -0.7241533481 | -0.7241533435 | -0.7241533479 | -0.72413 |
| 0.875 | -0.8276674429 | -0.8276674430 | -0.8276674428 | -0.82764 |
| 1.00 | -0.9204757107 | -0.9204757113 | -0.9204757105 | -0.92044 |

Example 11. Now, we consider the Volterra integro differential equation [4]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)+3 y(x)-\int_{0}^{x} \sin (x+t) y(t) d t=f(x), y(0)=y^{\prime}(1)=0
$$

where

$$
f(x)=-2+3 x-3 x^{2}-\left(x^{2}-x-2\right) \cos (2 x)+(2 x-1) \sin (2 x)+\sin x-2 \cos x
$$

The approximate solution generated by the proposed method is $x^{2}-x$ which is the exact solution of this problem.

Example 12. Consider the FVIDE

$$
x y^{\prime \prime}(x)-x y^{\prime}(x)+2 y(x)=\frac{1}{12} x^{4}-\frac{1}{6} x^{3}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{13}{6} x+\frac{17}{12}+\int_{0}^{x}(x-t) y(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1}(x+t) y(t) d t
$$

subject to initial conditions $y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)-2 y(1)+2 y(0)=1$. For $N=2,3,4,5$, we obtain $y(x)=-x^{2}+x+1$ which is the exact solution.

Example 13. Consider the nonlinear Volterra integro differential equation [11]:

$$
y^{\prime}(x)-\int_{0}^{x} y(t) y^{\prime}(t) d t=1, y(0)=0
$$

The exact solution is $y(x)=\sqrt{2} \tan (x / \sqrt{2})$. In [11], it has been solved by Haar wavelets collocation method. Maximum absolute errors around $10^{-3}$ in [11] from $N=5$ to $N=35$. Our method is presented more accuracy values from Table 8 .

Table 8. Error values of Ex. 13 for the $x$ value.

| x | Exact Solution | $N_{e}=6$ | $N_{e}=8$ | $N_{e}=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | $0.55000 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $0.18100 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $0.0 \mathrm{E}-0$ |
| 0.2 | 0.2013440870 | $0.452076 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.719203 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $0.122421 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.4 | 0.4110194227 | $0.583033 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.119630 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.122421 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.6 | 0.6387957040 | $0.554064 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.130914 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.264398 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 0.8 | 0.8978815369 | $0.430861 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.104877 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $0.794482 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| 1.0 | 1.2084602410 | $0.158000 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $0.20 \mathrm{E}-13$ |

### 3.2. DISCUSSION

The effectiveness of the method is examined by comparing the obtained results with the exact solutions and other methods. The proposed method presents us more convenient numerical results than compared methods in Ex. 3, 5-7, 13. A useful feature of this method is to find the analytical solutions if the problem has exact solutions that are polynomial functions in Ex. 8, 9, 11 and 12. The advantage of the method over others is that only small size operational matrix is required to provide the solution of high accuracy because most of matrix involves more numbers of zeroes and thus, reduces the run time. Also, the absolute error may be decreased if we take more Chebyshev terms. Even if the numerical algorithm is introduced linear FVIDs, some nonlinear examples are presented in Ex. 10 and 13. It is easy to write PC codes which are related to obtained system for necessary computation in Maple 13. Moreover, the graphs are genarate by aid of Matlab 2007.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we used the operational matrix method with Chebyshev polynomials to obtain numerical solutions of the FVID equations. The properties of the Chebyshev operational matrix method is utilized to reduce the problem to solving the system of nonlinear algebraic equations with unknown coefficients.
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